

The Sovereign

And now.....for the rest of the story

Winter 2021 - Vol. 1

sovereignnews.com




Photo by Jim Wilson, The New York Times/Redux
Used by permission.

The late Kary Mullis, inventor of the Covid-19 PCR test and Nobel Prize Laureate. His criticism of PCR test misuse and harsh assessment of covid czar Anthony Fauci can be found on YouTube, Rumble and Bitchute. His opinions on these matters have not yet aired on the legacy media.

Thousands of Physicians and Medical Scientists Sign “Rome Declaration” in Protest, May Be Crimes Against Humanity

Health care has increased life spans, decreased infant mortality dramatically and eliminated or minimized terrible diseases.

What has happened since Jonas Salk gave his highly effective Polio vaccine to the world patent free?

FIRST

Glaxo has the largest False Claims Act settlement on record.

DO NO HARM.

Pfizer has a record-breaking \$1.3 billion criminal fine.

HIPPOCRATES

Big Pharma is shielded from liability for adverse vaccine effects.

Drug company lobbying reached a record amount in 2020.

Over 12,000 physicians and medical scientists worldwide have already signed the “Rome Declaration” to alert citizens about the deadly consequences of Covid-19 policy makers’ and medical authorities’ unprecedented behavior; behavior such as denying patient access to life-saving early treatments, disrupting the sacred, physician-patient relationship and suppressing open scientific discussion for profits and power.

The Declaration was created by physicians and scientists during the Rome Covid Summit, and immediately catalyzed support from doctors around the world. These professionals, many of whom are on the front lines of pandemic treatment, have experienced career threats, character assassination, censorship of scientific papers and research, social media accounts blocked,

(Continued on page 3)



Above, Doctor Robert Malone, instrumental in the development of the mRNA vaccine, had the task of reading the Rome Declaration. (on the back page of this paper).

Pass it On
Reuse then
Recycle.

Post-Machiavellian - p. 11

Courageous
doctors
speak out

Ivermectin - p. 4

Building
immunity

Fake News & You - p. 6

Old tools for
new ways

Parallel Polis p. 8

Kid Shield

Children’s Crusade p. 9

DEFEND THE LAW

CANADIAN CHARTER
OF RIGHTS
AND FREEDOMS



POLICE ON GUARD FOR THEE

WILL YOU STAND? SIGN THE PETITION!

Sign at PoliceOnGuard.ca

Volunteers Welcome
info@sovereignnews.com

The Research Is Clear: Ivermectin Is a Safe, Effective Treatment for COVID. So Why Isn't It Being Used?

Despite efforts to denigrate ivermectin as “horse paste” and prevent doctors from prescribing it and patients from using it, the latest research shows this safe, inexpensive drug is effective at keeping COVID patients out of the hospital.

By Elizabeth Mumper, M.D., FAAP

A pharmacist in a drug store, who never examined my patient or learned his extensive medical history, got to trump my best medical judgment by refusing to fill the prescription.

A patient with Type 1 diabetes called to tell me the pharmacist at our local Walgreens refused to fill the prescription I had written for ivermectin, so I called to ask why.

The young pharmacist, a few years out of pharmacy school, informed me he did not understand why I was using ivermectin for early treatment of COVID because “SARS-CoV-2 does not have an exoskeleton.”

I explained I was not using ivermectin as an anti-parasitic medication, but that it had impressive data as an anti-inflammatory and anti-viral.

Furthermore, as a pediatrician, I have more than 40 years of experience managing multiple viral illnesses. There is value in treating viruses early, often with inexpensive natural remedies, rather than “staying at home until you have problems breathing then go to the hospital” as U.S. public officials have advised for COVID.

The pharmacist was not buying my initial explanation. “I am not going to fill prescriptions for ivermectin that are used in pseudo vaccine doses,” he told me.

IVERMECTIN FOR COVID-19

65 TRIALS, 655 SCIENTISTS, 47,717 PATIENTS

32 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

86% IMPROVEMENT IN 14 PROPHYLAXIS TRIALS RR 0.14 [0.08-0.25]

66% IMPROVEMENT IN 29 EARLY TREATMENT TRIALS RR 0.34 [0.24-0.47]

40% IMPROVEMENT IN 22 LATE TREATMENT TRIALS RR 0.60 [0.48-0.76]

57% IMPROVEMENT IN 26 MORTALITY RESULTS RR 0.43 [0.32-0.58]

58% IMPROVEMENT IN 32 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS RR 0.42 [0.29-0.59]

SUMMARY OF RESULTS REPORTED IN IVERMECTIN TRIALS FOR COVID-19. 09/27/21. IVMMETA.COM

I was surprised a young pharmacist was able to override an experienced physician’s prescription, effectively removing an inexpensive prevention and treatment option for selected patients in the middle of a pandemic.

The medical educator in me kicked in. “I would be happy to send you some references about the use of ivermectin for treatment and prevention. There are impressive studies from Argentina, Peru, Africa and India that suggest much better outcomes than we are achieving here in the U.S. with our single-minded focus on vaccines.”

He told me the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) did not recommend ivermectin for COVID. I asked to see the documentation and he agreed to fax it to me.

I hand-delivered 93 references and a great review article to the Walgreens.

The pharmacist faxed back a post from March 5, on the FDA website entitled “Why You Should Not Use Ivermectin to Treat or Prevent COVID-19.”

The next day, I received notice that a pharmacy in Northern Virginia would not fill any prescriptions for ivermectin if the diagnosis code mentioned COVID.

I had written an ivermectin prescription for a patient who has a history of bad reactions to vaccines and significant autoimmune illness. His adolescent age means that he is at very low risk of death from COVID itself.

Based on my experience as his doctor for over a decade, I was worried about potential adverse events if he got the COVID vaccine. I dug into the data about ivermectin, and it seemed like a great option for him to have on hand for early treatment

of COVID if he got sick.

A pharmacist in a drug store, who never examined my patient or learned his extensive medical history, got to trump my best medical judgment by refusing to fill the prescription. The same day, in a conversation with a compounding pharmacy, we learned of a case in which a patient’s family had to take a hospital to court to obtain treatment with ivermectin. Bear in mind that the safety profile for

ivermectin is excellent and the drug is spectacularly less expensive than the vast majority of hospital interventions.

Three days later, on a zoom call with a colleague whose parents live in Colorado, I learned that a pharmacist at a major drugstore was not only refusing to fill ivermectin for 86- and 87-year-old patients who held valid prescriptions, but the pharmacist was taking the initiative to remind the other King Soopers pharmacies in the state not to fill those prescriptions either.

My analysis of the medical literature is that ivermectin has an impressive safety record and there are multiple studies from around the globe suggesting it can decrease morbidity and mortality from COVID 19.

“ Since 2012, multiple in vitro studies have demonstrated that ivermectin inhibits the replication of many viruses, including influenza...”

Two doctors who were actually in the ICU treating real patients, Dr. Paul Marik and Dr. Pierre Kory, looked at their prior experience with similarly sick patients and reviewed treatment strategies to determine what could be helpful.

As Dr. Anthony Fauci advised us to “stay home and wait for the vaccine,” frontline doctors took care of the patients before them, learning valuable lessons about what worked and what did not.

- Since 2012, multiple in vitro studies have
- (Continued on page 3)



Cabins for Sale - Please call for options
Ready to Go Cabins. 12 x 20 or 12 x 25, insulated,
wired, on skids, interior finished, flooring.
Delivery available for all items.



Betcher's Construction

Handyman Services **780-228-5932**



Outhouse, Dog House, Livestock or Storage Shed (12 x 23), suitable for storage or livestock. Floor and ramp available.

(Ivermectin Effective continued from page 2)

demonstrated that ivermectin inhibits the replication of many viruses, including influenza, Zika, Dengue and others (Mastrangelo et al., 2012; Wagstaff et al., 2012; Tay et al., 2013; Götz et al., 2016; Varghese et al., 2016; Atkinson et al., 2018; Lv et al., 2018; King et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Portmann-Baracco et al., 2020; Rajter et al., 2020; Spoorthi V, 2020).

- ivermectin reduces mortality in critically ill patients with COVID-19 (Elgazzar et al., 2020; Hashim et al., 2020; Rajter et al., 2020).
- ivermectin leads to striking reductions in case-fatality rates in regions with wide-spread use (Chamie, Juan, 2020).

The safety, availability, and cost of ivermectin is nearly unparalleled given its near nil drug interactions along with only mild and rare side effects observed over almost 40 years of use and billions of doses administered (Kircik et al., 2016).

- ivermectin was successful at controlling several diseases which blighted the lives of billions living in poverty in the tropics.
- ivermectin’s discoverers were awarded the Nobel Prize in Medicine in 2015.
- ivermectin is included in the World Health Organization’s “List of Essential Medicines.” It has been widely distributed in countries like India for pennies a day. The out-of-pocket cost of ivermectin at my Walgreen’s is more than \$1,000.

Kory and Marik compiled eight studies (three randomized controlled studies and five observational controlled studies) demonstrating efficacy in prevention of COVID-19 with significant decreased transmission. They found 19 controlled studies that showed significant impacts on time to recovery, hospital stay, decrease in viral loads, reductions in duration of cough and decreased mortality.

In medical history pre-COVID, this body of research about ivermectin would be applauded for bringing value in the midst of a pandemic.

In the medical era pre-COVID, the judgment and experience of clinicians at the patient’s bedside counted for something.

Pre-COVID, we taught medical students to use keen observational skills and keep accurate records of whether the patient improved or deteriorated after the treatment strategies used.

In the Age of COVID, pharmacists who chide doctors that “COVID does not have an exoskeleton” deny patients ivermectin — a safe, cheap, effective and potentially life-saving early treatment.

Long haul covid

Because of the discrimination against some preventative measures and effective early treatment of covid there has likewise been little consideration of how these policies could effect long haul covid. Long haul covid is when a person experiences ongoing disabilities from the disease that might last for years.

A similair phenomenon affects about 18% of shingles sufferers, when the virus behind this disease causes nerve damage that can debilitate for years or a lifetime.

Both of these ongoing diseases range widely in severity and are not well treated. There is promising research now that may change this, by dissident doctors no less.

(Rome Declaration continued from page 1)

online search results manipulated, clinical trials and patient observations banned, and their professional history and accomplishments minimized in both academic and mainstream media.

Though the declaration’s signatories are diverse in their specialties, treatment philosophies and medical opinions, they have risen up to take a collective stand against authoritarian measures by corporations, medical associations, and governments and their respective agencies. The objective of the declaration is to reclaim their leadership role in conquering this pandemic.

In concert with the declaration, the signatories have created a “Doctors and Scientists” COVID information platform so that citizens can make informed decisions for their families without interruption, manipulation, politicization, or profiteering from external forces outside of the doctor-patient relationship.

See Back Page for The Rome Declaration.

AHS Claims and Rebuttals

Doctors writing in support of Alberta Health Services (AHS) made claims as below. The important points are covered. Nothing is taken out of context and the full letter, along with the authors, is easily available online. SNN is Sovereign News Network.

AHS: There are genuine concerns of fraudulent data being reported from some of the early trials, and the largest trial that supported ivermectin use has already been withdrawn as a result of data fraud.

SNN: The ivermectin study out of Egypt was fraudulent. It was not peer reviewed, but what is known as a pre-print study. It may have been a setup. Regardless, it does not impact the many other valid ivermectin studies. This tactic is known as ‘cherry picking’ and is anti-science, wherein one bad example is presented as representative of all the studies. The highest profile admitted fraudulent study was *against* hydroxychloroquine.

AHS: There is no high quality evidence that ivermectin is effective against COVID-19.

SNN: Read the articles by accredited professionals on this and the following pages. Check them out for yourself. Additionally the U.S. National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) has a study that concludes: “Meta-analyses based on 18 randomized controlled treatment trials of ivermectin in COVID-19 have found large, statistically significant reductions in mortality, time to clinical recovery, and time to viral clearance. Furthermore, results from numerous controlled prophylaxis trials report significantly reduced risks of contracting COVID-19 with the regular use of ivermectin. Finally, the many examples of ivermectin distribution campaigns leading to rapid population-wide decreases in morbidity and mortality indicate that an oral agent effective in all phases of COVID-19 has been identified.” NCBI has two other laudatory articles about ivermectin.

AHS: Even the drug manufacturer has issued a statement that ivermectin should not be used for COVID-19.

SNN: Many drug companies manufacture ivermectin, as it is now off-patent. This has resulted in very low prices; under a dollar a day in some poorer countries. AHS is likely referring to Merck and Co, whose ivermectin patent expired decades ago and which now has an expensive, apparently less effective patented drug that the generic ivermectin might make difficult to market.

AHS: It has also been found useful in the treatment of some human diseases related to worms and parasites. But parasites are not the same as

The Sovereign

For the Rest of the Story

Website: sovereignnews.com

Email: admin@sovereignnews.com

If you enjoy reading the rest of the story, please consider donating at the website above or sending an etransfer to the email above. Businesses can also book advertisting through the email address.

“The liberty of the press is the palladium of all the civil, political and religious rights”

viruses, and COVID-19 is caused by a virus.

SNN: AHS should know that what is termed off-label use of drugs is not unusual. That is: drugs are found to work for things they were not originally designed for. For example, aspirin was designed as a pain reliever but is now considered valuable for secondary prevention in heart disease. It is also not unusual for ivermectin to be referred to as a ‘wonder drug’, such are the continuing uses found for it, See article previous page for benefits against viruses and research other studies online.

AHS: Further, the use of veterinary versions of ivermectin is risky because they may contain ingredients not used in medications.

SNN: This is classic blame the victim. AHS blocks dispensing the very safe human version of the drug under medical supervision. Then they blame desperate people for using the animal version unsupervised. This is unconscionable.

AHS: Because of inappropriate use of ivermectin there is a critical shortage of it in many areas and it is not available to treat those with parasitic diseases, meaning some individuals may suffer needlessly.

SNN: Ivermectin is easy to make. Poorer countries have come up with hundreds of millions of additional doses to give out for covid on short notice. This is likely the reason crowded, less sanitary, medically poor India has less than half the deaths per million as Canada has.

AHS: It can be easy, especially in today’s digital age, to become caught up in disinformation circulating through social media.

SNN: The accreditations of many of the dissidents online are impeccable. Their real world results are also far superior to those of the AHS administrators. Additionally, they have nothing to gain and much to lose by opposing the powerful establishment narrative. What the digital age has brought about is the end of the mass media monopoly on easily accessible information. Corporate and bureaucratic conflicts of interest are circumvented to better bring about higher competencies.

AHS: Vaccination remains our best means of preventing COVID-19.

SNN: While the vaccines have a role to play, some doctors and scientists are concerned indiscriminate use will be counter-productive. The vaccines should be considered one tool in a toolkit of covid prevention and early remedies, which an informed patient can choose or refuse, one at a time.

Ivermectin Wins in Court Again: For Human Rights

Republished under fair use *The Desert Review* - www.thedesertreview.com

The Desert Review is an award winning, locally owned, and independent online news source and weekly printed publication that covers the events, sports, and people of the Imperial Valley in southern California and the stories that matter to the community.

One dose of Ivermectin was all it took to get 81-year-old John Swanson off the ventilator. John’s wife Sandra could not believe it. His story is remarkably similar to other cases of patients who were on their way out with advanced COVID-19 but saved when Ivermectin was added.

Ralph Lorigo is the lawyer who now has won three court orders forcing New York hospitals to administer Ivermectin to dying patients. Incredibly, these three hospitals and their lawyers fought against the patients, arguing they did not have the right to receive the drug despite a valid prescription written by their doctors. In essence, the argument was that they did not have the right to try a potentially life-saving medication.

In each of the three cases, the New York State Supreme Court Justices sided with the patient, and in each of the three cases, the patients made near-miraculous recoveries after the Ivermectin was given. In each case, these patients were in the Intensive Care Unit on ventilators, unable to breathe on their own, and universally, after the drug was given, they rapidly improved and were able to breathe on their own.

Judith Smentkiewicz made national news in January when her family hired Lorigo after the hospital refused a fourth dose of Ivermectin. Smentkiewicz’s son and daughter called Ivermectin a “miracle drug” in court papers. Attorney Lorigo and his associate Jon F. Minear reported, “This lady was on a ventilator, literally on her deathbed, before she was given this drug. As far as we’re concerned, the judge’s order saved this woman’s life.”

The family of Glenna “Sue” Dickinson happened to see a newspaper article of Judith’s remarkable story, and they decided to try Ivermectin as well.

Sue Dickinson, 65, contracted COVID-19 on

January 7, 2021. She suffered progressive worsening and was admitted to Rochester General Hospital on January 12. She continued to worsen and was placed on a ventilator on January 17. The hospital staff advised that her chances of survival were about 40 percent.

With nothing to lose, Natalie Kingdollar, Dickinson’s daughter, reached out to their family doctor, Tom Madejski, who wrote the prescription. The hospital refused to give Sue the Ivermectin. The legal team of Lorigo and Minear drafted an affidavit from Dr. Madejski and sought an injunction. State Supreme Court Justice Frank Caruso ordered the hospital to provide the Ivermectin.

“
Ivermectin
is widely used
by physicians,
as there
are now 51
studies from
around the
world, with 50
showing clear
benefit and
one showing
neutral.”

Dickinson, like Swanson, and Smentkiewicz, came off the ventilator and improved as well. The family reported on Facebook that, “She’s making progress each day, and it’s Ivermectin and God making this happen.” She has since been released from the hospital.

Ivermectin is widely used by physicians, as there are now 51 studies from around the world, with 50 showing clear benefit and one showing neutral. However, the lone study showing a neutral effect was roundly criticized as flawed in an open letter signed by a group of 120 physicians.

Experts worldwide have called for the global and systematic use of Ivermectin to prevent and treat COVID-19. Physicians have recently written about a profit motive by regulatory agencies and Big Pharma to block cheap, safe, and effective treatments like Ivermectin and HCQ in favor of experimental and perhaps more dangerous and arguably less effective vaccines and medicines like Remdesivir. With Remdesivir costing \$3,100 per dose and not reducing deaths, the choice of Ivermectin is a no-brainer say many doctors.

Ivermectin costs about \$2 per dose. It is safer than Tylenol or most vitamins, says Dr. Pierre Kory of the FLCCC Alliance, a group of expert physicians promoting access and information through a non-profit organization. Dr. Kory and Mr. Lorigo have teamed up to help other hospitalized patients gain access to the life-saving drug.

Dr. Fred Wagshul, a Yale-educated physician, is a pulmonary specialist and directs the Lung Center of America. He is also a founding member of the FLCCC Alliance. Dr. Wagshul notes that the typical dose for hospitalized patients is 0.3 mg of Ivermectin per kg of body weight for four days which works out to nine 3 mg tablets daily for four days in a typical 200-pound patient.

Dr. George Fareed, former Harvard professor, advocates combination therapy of Ivermectin with HCQ in outpatient cases. For the benefit of physician readers, the specific doses are provided in links such as covid19criticalcare.com.

The big problem is that information promoting Ivermectin is often censored or silenced as quickly as it is provided. Facebook, Reddit, Change.org, YouTube, and others have recently taken down posts on Ivermectin citing violation of “community standards.”

Physicians who employ good judgment and scientific studies are considered violators, as well as those who publish factual accounts of Ivermectin-based recovery stories. A recent article exposed the link between large pharmaceutical corporations and government regulatory agencies who have financial entanglements and massive conflicts of interest.

The disinformation campaign is evident with the publication of articles attempting to cast Ivermectin in a false light, referring to it as an “animal dewormer” that might be a “bad idea” for humans to use. In reality, many drugs are common to both humans and animals for treatment, including antibiotics, antifungals, and antiparasitic agents.

Ampicillin, a form of penicillin, has been widely used to treat infections in children like whooping cough, salmonella, and meningitis. It has been routinely used to treat adults for bronchitis, pneumonia, and rheumatic heart disease. It is also consistently employed in veterinary applications to treat calves, cattle, dogs, and cats.

You would never see an article attempting to smear Ampicillin as an animal drug and warn people against taking it. However, we see

this propaganda daily trying to influence the general public against Ivermectin, a life-saving drug that has been prescribed safely and in billions of doses over the past 40 years for parasitic disease.

Dr. Satoshi Omura won the 2015 Nobel Prize in Medicine for his discoveries leading to the development of Ivermectin. In his praise for Ivermectin and its potential to help in the COVID-19 pandemic, Dr. Omura recently compared Ivermectin to Penicillin,

(Continued on page 5)

“I am a person, free to speak without fear, free to seek a higher power in my own way, free to stand for what I think right, free to oppose what I believe wrong, and free to choose those who shall look after the commons. This heritage of freedom I pledge to uphold for myself and all mankind.”

- an updated John G. Diefenbaker, previous Prime Minister of Canada

Would you like more of ‘the other side of the story?’

Visit druthers.net and subscribe to the DRUTHER’s Monthly Newspaper

See StrongAndFreeCanada.org for tools, tips, flyers, videos and more

ChildrensHealthDefense.org is home to Robert Kennedy, Jr (see ad on page 10 to order his new book on Anthony Fauci)

Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms is at jccf.ca (see ad on page 10 for this group of lawyers standing for freedom)

USE DUCKDUCKGO INSTEAD OF GOOGLE TO FIND MUCH MORE
JUST SAY NO TO A GREAT RESET BACK TO FEUDAL TIMES

(Continued from page 4)

“one of the greatest discoveries of the twentieth century.”

Currently, Ivermectin has already been adopted by 25 percent of the world’s countries to prevent and treat COVID-19. Bangladesh, where Ivermectin is broadly used in almost every home, enjoys a 99% lower per capita death rate from COVID-19 than the US. Bangladesh, with 160 million inhabitants, has half the US population. However, it has merely 10,000 COVID-19 deaths. Contrast that with nearly 580,000 US deaths in our country of 327 million.

However, censorship, corruption, hospital lawyers, and disinformation campaigns have continued to stand in the way of its widespread acceptance in the United States. Many have never even heard of it.

Ivermectin recently won in court in South Africa after a protracted legal battle. Ralph Lorigo has now won his third State Supreme Court Injunction in New York. Will legal strategies also be required in the US to gain FDA approval for Ivermectin to treat COVID-19?

Editor’s Note: Some U.S. State Attorneys are now making serious moves in favor of ivermectin.

Japanese medical association chairman tells doctors to prescribe Ivermectin for COVID

With excerpts from Dr. Eddy Bettermann MD

The chairman of the Tokyo Medical Association, Haruo Ozaki, held a press conference announcing that the anti-parasite medicine Ivermectin seems to be effective at stopping COVID-19 and publicly recommending that all doctors in Japan immediately begin using Ivermectin to treat COVID.

The Tokyo Medical Association chairman compared statistics from African countries that did use Ivermectin yearly with those that did not: “Now African countries which do not distribute Ivermectin: 950.6 cases per 100,000 and 29.3 deaths per 100,000.... if we look at COVID numbers in countries that give Ivermectin, the number of cases is 134.4/100,000 and the number of deaths is 2.2/100,000.” In 2019, Japan’s death rate from *influenza* amounted to 2.9 death cases per 100,000 inhabitants.

“I believe the difference is clear. Of course one cannot conclude that Ivermectin is effective only on the basis of these figures, but when we have all of these elements, we cannot say that Ivermectin is absolutely not effective, at least not me.”

Did You Know?

Many highly accredited professionals question the establishment approach to covid. These pages contain just a few of the many tens of thousands doctors, nurses, scientists and others speaking truth to power, often at great cost to their careers. This is opposite the propaganda from the legacy media that often infers dissenting opinions come from unaccredited people or a relatively few accredited dissidents. Worldwide, the medical establishments of entire countries disagree with the lack of early, effective treatment as found in North America and elsewhere.

It can be difficult to sort out good from bad information, but the Internet is a valuable tool in assessing the credibility of institutions with conflicts of interest. This includes the legacy media.

India Bar Association sues WHO scientist over Ivermectin

Republished under fair use The Desert Review
- www.thedesertreview.com

The Indian Bar Association (IBA) sued WHO Chief Scientist Dr. Soumya Swaminathan, accusing her in a 71-point brief of causing the deaths of Indian citizens by misleading them about Ivermectin. Point 56 states, “That your misleading tweet on May 10, 2021, against the use of Ivermectin had the effect of the State of Tamil Nadu withdrawing Ivermectin from the protocol on May 11, 2021, just a day after the Tamil Nadu government had indicated the same for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.”

Advocate Dipali Ojha, lead attorney for the Indian Bar Association, threatened criminal prosecution against Dr. Swaminathan “for each death” caused by her acts of commission and omission. The brief accused Swaminathan of misconduct by using her position as a health authority to further the agenda of special interests to maintain an EUA for the lucrative vaccine industry. Specific charges included the running of a disinformation campaign against Ivermectin and issuing statements in social and mainstream media to wrongfully influence the public against the use of Ivermectin despite the existence of large amounts of clinical data showing its profound effectiveness in both prevention and treatment of COVID-19.

Advocate Ojha accused the WHO and Dr. Swaminathan in Points 60 and 61 as having misled and misguided the Indian people throughout the pandemic from mask wear to exonerating China as to the virus’s origins. “The world is gradually waking up to your absurd, arbitrary and fallacious approach in presenting concocted facts as ‘scientific approach.’ While the WHO flaunts itself like a ‘know it all,’ it is akin to the vain Emperor in new clothes while the entire world has realized by now, the Emperor has no clothes at all.”

The brief accused the WHO of being complicit in a vast disinformation campaign. Point 61 states, “The FLCCC and the BIRD have shown exemplary courage in building a formidable force to tackle the challenge of disinformation, resistance, and rebuke from pharma lobbies and powerful health interests like WHO, NIH, CDC, and regulators like the US FDA.” Point 52 reads, “It seems you have deliberately opted for deaths of people to achieve your ulterior goals, and this is sufficient grounds

for criminal prosecution against you.”

The Indian Bar Association posted an update on their website June 5, 2021, noting that Dr. Swaminathan had deleted her now-infamous tweet. They wrote, “However, deleting the tweet will not save Dr. Soumya Swaminathan and her associates from the criminal prosecution which is to be launched by the citizens with active support from the Indian Bar Association.”

In this update, Advocate Dipali Ojha clarified the nature of the planned action,

“The Indian Bar Association has warned action under section 302 etc. of the Indian Penal Code against Dr. Soumya Swaminathan and others, for murder of each person dying due to obstruction in treatment of COVID-19 patient effectively by Ivermectin. Punishment under section 302 of the Indian Penal Code is death penalty or life imprisonment.”

“ By contrast, Tamil Nadu opted out of ivermectin. As a result, their cases skyrocketed and rose to the highest in India. ”

He further wrote, “After receiving the said notice, Dr. Soumya Swaminathan went on the back foot and deleted her tweet. This has proved the hollowness of the WHO’s recommendation against Ivermectin for COVID-19. The dishonesty of WHO and the act of Dr. Soumya Swaminathan in deleting her contentious tweet was witnessed by citizens across the world, as the news got a wide coverage on social media. By deleting the tweet, Dr. Soumya Swaminathan has proved her mala fide intentions.”

The entire world witnessed the effectiveness of Ivermectin against India’s deadly second surge as the locations that adopted it saw their outbreaks quickly extinguished in stark contrast to those states that did not.

Among the most prominent examples include the Ivermectin areas of Delhi, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, and Goa where cases dropped 98%, 97%, 94%, and 86%, respectively. By contrast, Tamil Nadu opted out of Ivermectin. As a result, their cases skyrocketed and rose to the highest in India. Tamil Nadu deaths increased ten-fold.

Editor’s Note: It is a back and forth battle, but revolts against the sabotage of early treatment is starting to spill over into the more developed nations. Poorer nations led the way because they had less to lose and were more aware of the hypocrisies in the system.



Sangster

www.sangstersafety.com

SAFETY LTD.

780-706-2046

Wellsite, Gasplant, Pipeline Supervision & Medic Services

info@sangstersafety.com

Fax: 780-778-2297

Tragedy In Rural Alberta, A Courageous Doctor Speaks Out

Excerpts from a speech given on the 75th Anniversary of the Nuremberg Code, .

I started on Saturday morning in the ER, and when it came time to round on the ward patients, the charge nurse informed me that 3 of the patients on the COVID wing had deteriorated overnight.

All the patients were on oxygen and extremely short of breath. The only medication these patients were on were steroids. A medication that will decrease inflammation but increase the chances of a bacterial infection by suppressing the immune system. That’s right, the only medication the covid patients at this hospital were on were immune suppressants.

One woman said it felt like we just put her in a corner to die. We weren’t doing anything for her. I told her, I can’t speak for the usual doctors during the week, but it’s the weekend, and I’ll do everything I can to help.

I offered ivermectin. She wanted to try it because she heard nothing but good things about it. All 3 patients wanted to try ivermectin. The hospital didn’t have any, so we had to ask Red Deer Hospital’s Central Pharmacy for the medication. They refused to send ivermectin. Red Deer’s central pharmacist said ivermectin was useless for COVID. He even had the pharmacy director for all of Alberta contact me to tell me ivermectin didn’t work.

So we checked the local pharmacies. And God bless that charge nurse, although both pharmacies in town did not have ivermectin, there was one pharmacist who would do everything he could to get some even if it took all day. We didn’t have all day, my patients were sick. So I started everyone on the next best thing, Hydroxychloroquine which the hospital did have. I also started Vitamin C, Vitamin D, and Zinc.

And because the patients were coughing and short of breath I gave them inhalers... Salbutamol and Flovent, the same inhalers that have been used for asthma for over 50 years. I also gave them Azithromycin. Surprisingly by late afternoon, the town pharmacist finally found some ivermectin. I handed ivermectin to each of my 3 patients with their exact dose of according to their weight.

Within hours of getting Ivermectin, I got a call from the Central Zone medical director to tell me I was forbidden from giving ivermectin to patients.

I told her she’s never met the patients, she’s not their doctor, and had no right to be changing the care of my patients without the patient’s permission.

She said ivermectin was forbidden from the hospital. Even if the patients had their own ivermectin. (Which I would have happily given to a relative so they could to hand it over to them), Patients would not be allowed to take their own ivermectin. She said it was a violation of Alberta Health Services policy to give ivermectin for COVID.

But that wasn’t good enough. The next day she called the hospital and gave me 15 minutes notice that I would be relieved of my duties. I told her that it was unreasonable. I had an emergency department full of patients who can’t be sorted out in 15 minutes. An hour later another local doctor came to replace me. They didn’t even want me to check up on the patients who I gave ivermectin to.

Not even 24 hours after getting ivermectin, 2 out of my 3 patients were almost completely better. They were out of bed walking around and all the crackles I heard in their lungs from the day before were gone. All it took was about 18 hours and 1 dose of ivermectin. The third patient who was 95 years old, stayed the same. She didn’t get any worse like she had done the night previous.

I found out later that no sooner had I left Rimbey hospital, the next doctor who came to replace me stopped the antibiotics, stopped all the vitamins, she even stopped the patients’ inhalers. Thankfully, both my 70 year old patients who had immediate recoveries after a single dose of ivermectin left the hospital that week.

But it gets worse, In my brief day and a half in the small town of Rimbey, I saw 2 patients who had recently been discharged from Red Deer Hospital after being on the COVID ward. They were sent home with NOTHING. Not even an inhaler. These patients ended up in ER at a small hospital wanting help. Just days after being sent home from a tertiary care hospital with nothing.

Dr. Daniel Nagase has been a doctor for over 15 years in B.C. and has been also working in rural under-serviced communities throughout Alberta since 2015. On RateMD he scores 4.3 out of 5 or #177 of 1617 Family Doctors / G.P.s in Vancouver, British Columbia.

The Empire Strikes Back

A blogger blared THERE IS NO ‘TRAGEDY IN RURAL ALBERTA’. IT’S A MADE UP BLOG POST BY A WEIRDO WHO PROBABLY EATS IVERMECTIN BY THE TUBE. The author of the piece is someone who recently left a 20 year career from a mainstream radio station and is now authoring a ‘news’ blog.

He avers “not only is this story totally fabricated and 100% bull****, but there is no Dr. Daniel Nagase at Rimbey Hospital. At least we can’t find him. We called Rimbey hospital and they have no idea who Dr. Nagase is. The only Dr. Daniel Nagase we found operates a clinic in BC that appears to be the story’s namesake.”

It is ludicrous to comment that the doctor is not at the Rimbey hospital when the story at hand is about that doctor being a visiting doctor and banned from said hospital when he tried to effectively treat covid patients. So of course he’s no longer there.

The hit piece then states Nagase was in trouble with a hospital long ago. However, Nagase was the plaintiff in the case, not the defendant. He charged a hospital was out of line in giving someone preferential treatment at the expense of a less connected patient. In other words, rather than being charged with something, Nagase was doing the charging in defense of a sick person, further establishing his credentials as a physician more concerned with his patients than politics.

In contrast to the claim that the story is ‘totally fabricated’, the CBC headlined the Nagase ivermectin story with: “Fill-in doctor is spreading misinformation, Alberta Health Services says”. The story includes references to Nagase and his time at the Rimbey location, thereby making a mockery of the blogger’s hit piece.

CBC then goes on to spread more subtle disinformation, careful not to bite the hand that feeds. The story repeats disinformation such as “no clinical studies have proven whether it [ivermectin] can slow or stop the spread of the coronavirus responsible for COVID-19 in humans”. Yet many such studies exist, with a few identified on page 2 , 3 ,4 and 5 of this paper. There is also strong evidence from real world results, as in comparing the states in India that enacted ivermectin policies with those that did not. Omitting important facts can be as damaging to truth as stating false information.

The danger of ivermectin is also played up on cue. There is no mention it is one of the safest drugs on record, according to WHO and other official sources, when properly prescribed. The ivermectin safety ploy reached ridiculous levels in the U.S. when Rolling Stone Magazine picked up a story propagated by a local liar about hospitals being overwhelmed by people self medicating with ivermectin. Other legacy media picked up the story and it was news around the world. Except it wasn’t true. The accompanying photo of a line-up that showed people wearing heavy coats in summer was actually from months earlier and the line up was to get a vaccine shot. When it became aware of the story, Oklahoma’s Northeastern Health System issued a statement saying that it had not treated any patients for ivermectin-related complications, including overdose, nor had it turned away anyone seeking emergency help. Yet the lie lives on in the minds of many who still believe mainstream media.

The CBC also echoed the official talking point that ivermectin is used for parasites, not viruses, but neglected to mention that many drugs are successfully used ‘off label’, thereby spreading more misinformation, or even disinformation if the writer was aware of the facts. As painful as it is to admit, our mainstream news and health institutions are failing us and failing us badly. It is up to us to fix them, or better yet, replace them.

S & S
MUFFLER SHOP



WE'RE
NOT THE
BIGGEST,
WE'RE
THE
BEST!

KEN SIMON - 780.778.4224
5003 47 AVE - WHITECOURT
(BEHIND CANADIAN TIRE)

Legacy Media Manipulation

The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but allow very lively debate within that spectrum. That gives people the sense that there’s free thinking going on, while all the time the presuppositions of the system are being reinforced by the limits put on the range of the debate.

~ Noam Chomsky, Author of
Manufactured Consent

Building Immunity Against Propaganda - Pt 1

Future parts in this series will present research on lying techniques and how to spot various lies and propaganda stratagems.

Primitive people were in direct personal contact with most of the information by which they made their decisions. Immersion in real experience and the resulting observations directed many thoughts and actions. A modern person has most of their information chosen for them with headlines, word choice, camera angles, photograph selections and editorials adding shape to the selection. Mass media makes it possible to hate someone who has never been met, or experience joy, sadness and anger without being exposed to any direct stimuli. Many people still confuse authenticity with authority and give a high credence to this information.

Researcher John Hannigan questioned the effect of mass media ownership on democracy in his essay *Ideology, Elites and the Canadian Mass Media*. He urged serious action on the role of news as business ideology and manufactured product. Hannigan concluded that the effect of the media was such that a democratic society could not coexist if this information structure was compromised. In 1969 and 1981, mounting concern over the concentration of ownership led to federal studies advocating dispersed ownership of the media. These were shelved under pressure and the diverse, outspoken opinions of small independent publishers gradually became a thing of the past.

Concentration of media ownership in Canada has now reached the point where four companies distribute the news to more than seventy percent of the population. In the U.S., just six conglomerates deliver the news to 90% of the population. The heads of the various media conglomerates mix and mingle at the same international conferences and on various boards of other large corporations. The big advertisers are part of the same circles. Feisty, independent publishers are a vanishing breed.

The failing educational system has also led to a public increasingly favoring sensationalism and scandal, over the real news necessary for an informed voter. Professor Nei Postman described the emphasis on escapism in his book entitled *Amusing Ourselves to Death*.

What of strong, independent reporters within the news conglomerates? Anyone who's worked for a big corporation knows how that turns out. General policies required for business needs are filtered down to the newsroom through subtle negative and positive sanctions. Much of the behavior modification has little to do with quality or ef-

ficiency. It's a tribute to the human spirit that newspapers often contain relevant information, even though they must remain silent on the big issues.


Hebert Schiller in *The Mind Managers* states "It is not necessary to construct a theory of intentional cultural control. In truth, the strength of the control process rests in its apparent absence. The desired systemic result is achieved ordinarily by a loose, though effective, institutional process. It utilizes the education of journalists and other media professionals, built-in penalties and rewards for doing what is expected, norms presented as objective rules, and the occasional but telling direct intrusion from above. The main lever is the internalization of values."

“ Mass media makes it possible to hate someone who has never been met, ”

A leading American critic, Noam Chomsky, chronicled the role of the media in his book, *Manufacturing Consent*. The title refers to a descriptive phrase found in the minutes of a meeting that a powerful business group had held. The American elites had talked of a plan to use the media to 'manufacture consent' for their policies.

There have been many other comments made about the media by those who access less obvious information sources. Journalist turned novelist Theodore Dreiser stated that the American Press is kept by big corporations, the way a whore is kept by a rich man. American author Gore Vidal has opined the genius of the American press is their ability to get the voter to vote against their own interests. Thomas Jefferson said he had pity on any person who thought they knew what was going on from reading the newspapers. Noted American educator John Dewey remarked on the extent of mind management by saying "the reactionaries are in possession of force, not only in the army and police, but in the press and the schools."

“ The desired systemic result is achieved ordinarily by a loose, though effective, institutional process. ”



The North American techniques of media manipulation are far superior to the overt, crude methods that have been employed by the regimes of police states. As such, they are being adopted in post-communist Russia and other areas. However, the sense of western media sophistication is really a false one. In *The Truth Twisters*, Richard Deacon writes "the Western democracies are sometimes just as vulnerable as the people of totalitarian regimes — indeed perhaps more so, because they are more likely to accept what they are told as the truth."

Like other industrial age institutions, the media manipulation has its roots in the jungle mentality that is common in arenas where competition is fierce. Force and deception are the cardinal rules of the jungle and the human preoccupation with information secrecy was originally developed to provide protection and security in this world of real scarcity. The camouflage was a necessary shield from predators, other clans, different tribes, opposing city states, enemy nations and finally competing transnationals. Like many other security arrangements for past ages, secrecy is now making us less secure. As the globe becomes more and more interdependent, the poor decisions made as a result of bad information, come around and go around with increasing speed.

The good news is that trust in legacy media is falling. The covid news debacle has accelerated the decline. In February 2021, for the first time ever, Edelman's annual trust barometer revealed that fewer than half of all Americans acknowledge any kind of trust in the mainstream media. Fifty-six percent of Americans, for example, said they agreed with the following statement: "Journalists and reporters are purposely trying to mislead people by saying things they know are false or gross exaggerations." Younger generations have the least amount of trust, boding well for the future. It is not a stretch to think the poll applies to Canada as well.

The dying trust in the legacy media has led to interesting times. There are more and more attempts at blatant censorship, particularly on social media. In Canada, the federal government is renewing its attack on free speech on the Internet in general. There was an attempt to censor this print product. The general manager of a print shop affiliated with Black Press blocked release of 20,000 copies of this paper. Where this will all end up is anybody's guess, but it seems certain big changes are coming, sooner rather than later.

- Printing ▪ Copying
- Graphic & Logo Design
- Business Cards
- Tickets ▪ Invoices
- Business Forms
- Mailers ▪ Brochures

Custom Business Launch Packages Available

Business opportunity with OZ for a self starting creative person.

— design@ozmedia.ca — 780-778-3949 —

The logo for OZ Media. It features a large, stylized 'O' and 'Z' in a rainbow gradient. The 'O' has a blue dot in the center. Below the 'OZ' is the word 'Media' in a bold, green, sans-serif font. To the left of 'Media' is a blue sphere.

Parallel Polis: The art and science of toppling totalitarianism through peaceful action

With excerpts from the Internet

There comes a time when protest does not work. Neither does electing politicians who promise change even though the system they are working within does not allow it. Futurist and inventor Buckminster Fuller gave some sage advice for such situations when he wrote “you never change things by fighting the existing reality. To change something, build a new model that makes the existing model obsolete.”

Parallel polis was a term coined to describe the emergence of new social structures during the fight against totalitarianism in 1980’s Czechoslovakia. Rather than live in slavery to their communist masters, people created economic and social structures that ran in parallel with those imposed from above. When the authoritarian regime was toppled in the 1989 Velvet Revolution, many of these new structures segued into the freer society that emerged. Vaclav Havel, the first leader of post-communist Czechoslovakia, was a primary proponent of parallel polis during his days in the resistance to totalitarianism.

Some key points of Parallel Polis are:

- Parallel Polis consists of people who actively advocate for and protect their rights, which they see as intrinsic to a person, not something to be granted or withdrawn from a centralized authority. This of course includes respecting the rights of others and the support of social institutions that enable this. During its own fight against communism, Poland was influenced by the Polish Pope of the time who dug up his early writings on personalism. Personalism was a term used to describe how individual rights were sovereign and came from a higher power, as well as how those rights were predicated by a responsibility to the larger society.
- Alternative culture is independent, and is developed without the permission—or support—of public authorities. It builds up from the individual, rather than down from the state. An important point to consider is that some would build parallel structures not to increase freedom, but instead to replace a current authoritarian regime with their own authoritarian regime. Don’t get fooled again. Look to others to inspire, but not to replace, your personal part in the new society.
- Parallel education representing the right to free, diverse educational opportunities. Teach students how to think, not what to think. Our current educational system is still based on an industrial age model that indoctrinates more than it educates. The immediate answer looks to be more private schools and home education.

- Parallel science is important, with research freed from political considerations by arms length funding. The covid coup pseudo-science has shone a spotlight on the importance of this reform.
- A parallel information system as an expression of the right to the free dissemination of information is important. In communist Europe, ‘samizdat’ was the word used to describe publications that that agitated against totalitarianism. Today, most of the parallel information systems are online, but printed products are an important supplement.
- Parallel economy: Political power considers this area as a critical resource for arbitrary control of citizens and thereby strictly regulates it for reasons that go beyond health and safety. Often the parallel structure involves a search for resources which are not dependent on the control of conventional monetary tools. It operates on genuinely free market principles, with a healthy dose of justice based social safety nets.
- Creation of parallel political structures and the promotion of their development. The alternative political structures are incubated and grow in the parallel polis and develop into a form which can replace the ruling authoritarian regime. This change will go well beyond the change that happened when the communist Eastern Bloc fell to freer states. It will encompass more direct input into governance. Referendums and the right of recall are two small moves in this direction. Much smaller government is also desirable in a freer world.
- Parallel foreign policy, which sees other world citizens engaged in the struggle against totalitarians as brothers and sisters in arms.

Parallel structures are not of course isolated entities but work in tandem to resist and eventually replace the authoritarian state. They support each other and cross fertilize emerging best practices. In 2014, U.S. academia formalized parrallel polis studies and set up thinktanks. However, being within the system, they are a contradiction in terms and hence ineffective.

In essence, an age change is happening and some new institutions arising from parallel polis

might be considered knowledge age institutions (see The Big Picture, starting page 11).

In the digital world, parallel structures are relatively easy to construct. The Big Tech arm of the totalitarians is being resisted by social media alternatives as the establishment censorship and lying increases in a desperate rearguard action. YouTube is now supplemented by platforms such as Rumble and Bitchute. Gettr and Gab make end-runs around the censorship of Twitter, MeWe provides more freedom of speech than Facebook. Many other alternatives are springing up to provide a haven for free thought and words. The newcomers might still sellout or otherwise be compromised, but in cyberspace, it is relatively easy to create yet more new social media networks. Those that stay true to free speech will have a competitive advantage over time. A fast growing newcomer Parler, was undercut when Amazon shut it down because they had made the mistake of hosting on Amazon servers. Another alternative, Gab, was treated likewise by another large company, but successfully set up independent servers to come back on line. 20,000 copies of this paper were trashed by a Black Press affiliate, yet here we are.

“ In essence, an age change is happening and some institutions arising from parallel polis might be considered knowledge age institutions ”

Outside of cyberspace, parallel structures take more time and effort, but often run along the lines of fun social events. Already there are hints of alternative medical clinics springing up as it has become more apparent that the old medical system is more about money and politics than health. Alternative economic systems will likewise come on-stream. Of course, parallel health, economic, media and other structures have existed for a long time. However, they are just now blossoming as it becomes obvious the old ways are no longer working; and that a reset to the prescribed lord and serf, or totalitarian, society is both undesirable and unsustainable.

UPDATE: At the recent climate change conference, aka the world’s largest gathering of private jets, prince Charles advocated a “military-style” response to the climate change emergency. “We have to put ourselves on what might be called a warlike footing,” the 72-year-old royal said in his opening remarks. A royal decree as it were, Denials will abound about the implications of this statement, but the threat of calling out the military on a warlike footing is one more sign of desperation. It would behoove those citizens serving as defence forces to not only remember their oaths to their countrys’ constitutions, but also their duty to defend freedom. And remember how these elites you protected treated you once you left their service.

As usual, the chatter at the conference was about ‘climate change’ without differentiating between human caused, or anthropogenic climate change (ACC), and climate change. Climate change is a natural phenomena and is a part of any documentary film or serious paper on geology. Alberta was once home to a semi-tropical sea.

The basis of science is a definition of terms. Referring to human caused climate change and climate change by the same term is very much the same thing as saying you are moving your lawn sprinkler so that the rainfall will hit a different part of your yard. It’s that inane. Whether or not human caused climate change is a big issue is a separate matter from this evisceration of very basic science.



Memorial for victims of communism in Prague, Czechia. The Ukraine was much worse. Never Again.

Why the push to go after children?



Excerpts used under fair use from the Children’s Health Defense Team at childrenshealthdefense.org. Please see website for full article.

U.S. health officials, with unflagging support from the Biden Administration, are charging full speed ahead on plans to vaccinate children as young as 5 for COVID, despite the extremely low risk the virus poses to kids, and against the urgent warnings of scientists and doctors.

Given that COVID disease poses almost no risk to children — with a 99.9973% survival rate for those 19-and-under and hospitalization rates far lower than what the New York Times would have us believe — what possible rationale can FDA and CDC offer for subjecting young children to such a dangerous experiment?

One answer (disquieting though it may be for those who wish to believe in our captured regulatory agencies’ good intentions) is that good health is not profitable, whereas drug dependency is.

It is well established that the rise of chronic illness in American children is coterminous with the childhood vaccine schedule, and the types of adverse events documented in package inserts for liability-free childhood vaccines — 400 different reactions that include seizures, asthma, allergies, autoimmune illness, arthritis, paralysis, gastrointestinal disorders and mental health challenges — generate long-term and often lifetime clients for the pharmaceutical industry.

Now, doctors are reporting a significant uptick in cancers following COVID vaccination. Is this why Pfizer just spent more than \$2 billion acquiring a company focused on cancer treatments?

Central bankers are also well aware of these dynamics. A few years ago, Goldman Sachs — a New York Fed member bank — frankly admitted in a report that curing patients might not be “a sustainable business model.”

However, the odd, outside-of-their-wheel-house COVID vaccine boosterism displayed by Federal Reserve chair Jerome Powell and European Central Bank president Christine Lagarde, both of whom claim that economic recovery hinges on “progress with vaccinations,” suggests central bankers intent on centralizing and controlling economic flows are also counting on mass COVID vaccination for other purposes.

Last week in The Federalist, Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) wrote that stories of COVID vaccine injury and death are pouring into his office and are clearly “occurring at far higher numbers than health authorities want to admit.”

In this context — and in the face of overwhelming evidence that there is “no discernible relationship between percentage of population fully vaccinated and new COVID-19 cases” — the Senator characterized the push for mandates as “sinister.”

Johnson has also noted, “the determined push for indiscriminate vaccination of ... pregnant women, and of children causes me to ask questions.”

When it comes to our children, we should all be asking regulators and legislators these important questions.

Regulatory Capture

After his departure as Commissioner of the agency in 1969, Dr. Herbert E. Ley said that “what the F.D.A. is doing and what the public thinks it’s doing are as different as night and day.”

He complained further that during his 18-month tenure he had been under “constant, tremendous, sometimes unmerciful pressure” from drug industry officials. It seems things have gone downhill from there in the intervening decades.

A double edged sword

As one faux-checker pointed out, the conspiracy theory that mRNA technology is like a computer operating system is deserving of their ‘pants on fire’ liar award. I guess they didn’t get the memo. Covid vaccine maker Moderna features this idea on its website. As of this writing, it states: “[r]ecognizing the broad potential of mRNA science, we set out to create an mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer.”

This holds great promise for future medical treatments of many diseases. All manner of remedies can be loaded onto the mRNA delivery platform. There is also great danger, should the technology fall into the wrong hands. Medical malware can also be loaded onto the platform.

The founder of the World Economic Forum (WEF), Klaus Schwab is quoted on their website as saying: “the Fourth Industrial Revolution (knowledge age) can compromise humanity’s traditional sources of meaning — work, community, family, and identity — or it can lift humanity into a *new collective* and moral consciousness based on a sense of shared destiny. The choice is ours.”

At first glance this appears as standard boilerplate. However, hiding in plain sight is a proscription for a totalitarian society. By ‘the choice is ours’ the elites are referring to each other, not humanity in general. The article containing the quote is by the CEO of multinational giant Salesforce and is addressed to other CEOs about better organizing their worker bees. It is not about ‘our choice’ unless you are a CEO of a major company. And of course a ‘*new collective*’, or even an old one, is not something most people aspire to, particularly East Bloc survivors. The knowledge age is about freeing people, not reorganizing them under neo-feudalism.

Another author on the WEF website writes: “advocates of transhumanism face a similar choice today. One option is to take advantage of the advances in nanotechnologies, genetic engineering and other medical sciences to enhance the biological and mental functioning of human beings. The other is to legislate to prevent these artificial changes from becoming an entrenched part of humanity, with all the implied coercive bio-medicine that would entail for the species. Of course, the reality of this debate is more complex. Holding our scepticism in abeyance, it still supersedes individual choice. Hence the question of agency remains: who should have the right to decide?”

How does this WEF writer conclude that such a decision ‘still supersedes individual choice’? How is this unexplained stance not the ultimate in ‘coercive bio-medicine’? In reality, individual choice is the only moral choice in a society in which all humans have rights. Additionally, many would argue that humans are already augmented far beyond what these would-be gods can even imagine. The tech toys pushed at the WEF might be a nice add on, but only under individual informed consent.

In the article justifying taking away individual choice for genetic engineering and other enhancements, the red pill and blue pill scene from the Matrix movie is used. Except it is turned right on it’s head. In the WEF version, Neo is not given a choice about taking the pill. This upside down movie analysis is one more example of the tendency of concentrated power to corrupt one’s thought processes.

This leaves the question of why the fanaticism about the covid injections, particularly in low risk individuals like children? It looks to be a continued set of injections with 4th booster shots now being discussed. Medicine, good or bad, can be loaded on “mRNA technology platform that functions very much like an operating system on a computer.”

"Whitecourt's most happening place"

Valley Centre Mall

780-778-1900

Dine in, Takeout, Delivery

Wing Wednesdays

(21 Delicious Flavours to choose from)

Shrimp Saturdays

Daily specials

DARTS - POOL - VLTS

Justice Centre will defend physicians threatened by Alberta College of Physicians and Surgeons

CALGARY: The Justice Centre today expressed concerns over a September 27, 2021 letter to medical professionals issued by the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Alberta (CPSA). The letter states that the Council of the CPSA met on September 20, and voted that all physicians in the province “should be fully vaccinated”. Many Alberta physicians, however, are personally unable to provide informed consent to the experimental Covid shots in light of their knowledge of medical and scientific studies and the latest data.

Concerningly, the Statement from the CPSA also confirms that it will not tolerate dissenting views from physicians or their participation in public dialogue regarding the efficacy or safety of the Covid shots. The CPSA Statement claims that physicians who review the latest studies and data and express a different scientific opinion are spreading “misinformation.” *(Editor’s Note: Accusing others of that which you yourself are doing is a common disinformation tactic).*

Dr. Eric Payne, Associate Professor of Pediatrics and Neurology at the University of Calgary, and pediatric neurocritical care physician at the Alberta Children’s Hospital, sent a September 14, 2021 letter to the CPSA, expressing his objecting to taking a “novel experimental mRNA therapy”, with “no long-term data.”

“The medical evidence demonstrates that the effectiveness of the mRNA vaccines has decreased significantly, they do not prevent SARS-CoV-2 transmission or symptomatic disease, and while evidence for protection against serious illness continues to exist in Calgary, that too is dissipating globally,” explained Dr. Payne.

“The College has not addressed or responded to any of the issues or information raised by Dr. Payne in his public letter,” notes Jonathan Fernandes, Justice Centre staff lawyer.

“Doctors have Charter rights, as do patients. Government and regulatory coercion to take experimental medical treatments is always a red flag,” continues Mr. Fernandes.

“With the worsening shortage of doctors and nurses globally, regulatory bodies need to take care that they do not push out qualified and dedicated staff through their heavy-handed and intolerant policies,” notes Mr. Fernandes.

“We condemn the implied threat of the CPSA against those Alberta physicians who, in light of their review of the scientific research and data, cannot at this time give their personal informed consent to receive these shots,” continues Mr. Fernandes.

Emerging evidence continues to call into question the efficacy of these shots. On July 30, 2021, the director of the CDC, Rochelle Walensky confirmed that “Delta infection resulted in similarly high SARSCoV-2 viral loads in vaccinated and unvaccinated people. High viral loads suggest an increased risk of transmission and raised concern that, unlike with other variants, vaccinated people infected with Delta can transmit the virus.”

On August 6, 2021, CDC Director Dr. Walensky stated on CNN that the vaccines are working but that what they can’t “do is prevent transmission”.

On August 19, 2021, the CDC noted individuals were experiencing “reduced protection against mild and moderate disease”.

On July 23, 2021, Israel’s Health Ministry indicated that a complete course of the Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine was just 39% effective at preventing infections and 41% effective at preventing symptomatic illness with the Delta variant. By August 16, 2021, and despite having 78% of those 12 and older fully vaccinated, 59% of gravely ill patients in Israel were fully vaccinated.

Further, a new peer-reviewed paper in the well-respected Toxicology journal found that “there are five times the number of deaths attributable to each inoculation vs those attributable to COVID-19 in the most vulnerable 65+ demographic. The risk of death from COVID-19 decreases drastically as age decreases, and the longer-term effects of the inoculations on lower age groups will increase their risk-benefit ratio, perhaps substantially.”

Science

If you don't make mistakes, you're doing it wrong

If you don't correct those mistakes, you're doing it really wrong.

If you can't accept that you're mistaken, you're not doing it all.

U.S. Senator and Doctor Rand Paul takes on Anthony Fauci Again

Sen. Rand Paul (R-Ky.) on Monday took to the podium on Capitol Hill to criticize the media and Dr. Anthony Fauci for spreading mistruths about COVID, and for their role in causing unnecessary deaths by politicizing the science around COVID vaccines and treatments.

“We’ve got Dr. Fauci spreading mistruths across the country, saying we’ve got to forcibly vaccinate the kids,” Paul said. “There’s no science behind any of that.” Paul accused CNN and others of misleading the public on a host of COVID-related issues, including the need to vaccinate children:

“For example, the one truth you won’t be told is an 85-year-old has a 10,000 times greater chance of dying [from COVID] than a 10-year-old. Now you think we should treat them the same. If you were their doctor, do you think a 10-year-old should get the same healthcare and the same prescriptions for what they need to do as an 85-year-old? Makes no sense at all.”

Sen. Rand Paul Calls Out HHS Sec. Becerra for Denying Natural Immunity

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

During a Sept. 30 U.S. Senate hearing, Sen. Rand Paul, (R-Ky.) called out Health and Human Services Sec. Xavier Becerra for ignoring the science of natural immunity. Becerra is traveling the country calling people “flat-earthers” for believing that if they’ve already had COVID they don’t need the vaccine, Paul said.

“We find that very insulting. It goes against the science,” Paul said. And, Paul added, Becerra is doing this in spite of an Israeli study of 2.5 million people that found the vaccinated group was actually seven times more likely to get infected with COVID than those with natural immunity from a previous infection.

When Becerra said he wasn’t familiar with that study and “would have to get back” to Paul on it, Paul chastised Becerra for his ignorance, saying he was making decisions for 100 million Americans who already had COVID when he isn’t even keeping up with the science.

At their best, people are the noblest of all animals; separated from law and justice they are the worst.



Founded in 2010 as a voice for freedom in Canada’s courtrooms, the mission of the Justice Centre is to defend the constitutional freedoms of Canadians through litigation and education. We fight for Canada to become and remain a free society, where the equality of all Canadians and their individual freedoms are celebrated and respected.

Justice Centre


for Constitutional Freedoms

What can people do about these government restrictions?

Educate yourself and others. Share the articles, news releases, Covid Statistics by Province, The Docket newsletter, and other documents on the Resources page of our website with your neighbours, friends, family members and colleagues.

See jccf.ca for more.

“Free speech is the most important freedom” – Ezra Levant, author, and founder of Rebel Media.



During more than a year of painstaking and meticulous research, Robert F. Kennedy Jr. unearthed a shocking story that obliterates media spin on Dr. Fauci . . . for anyone who cares about the future of our children’s health.

Author Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. is a New York Times bestselling author and one of the nation’s leading environmental activists.

The Big Picture - Part 1

Post-Machiavellian Society

Journey into the Heart of Lightness

Current Reality

The Fork in the Road

Dyma ni awr ar daith ein gobaith (here we are now on our journey of faith)

- Morgan John Rhys

Say goodbye to work drudgery, heavy taxation, and environmental degradation. It sounds like a late-night infomercial. Yet, we have the technology to do this and more. So why the disconnect between what is physically doable and what is politically possible? Powerful actors and institutions are often blamed, but these reflect society in general. Suspect leaders and institutions fade away through the ages, yet the gaping separation between human potential and political reality remains.

The misdeeds by overt and covert rulers are part of a deeper, systemic problem. At root, humanity's evolutionary path is the culprit. Like all life on earth, it led through a jungle environment, where force and deception are cardinal virtues. The brutish instincts required for survival in this milieu are still within us. Automatic reflexes, hormones and selfish genes are among the impulses that drive bestial behavior. A meme that spoke to this reframed the famous military quote “we have met the enemy, and he is ours” to say “we have met the enemy, and he is us.”

The good news is that people change all the time and societies along with them. The development of civilizing structures nurtures our better nature. The law of the jungle is slowly giving way to the laws of civilization. As a result, there is far less violence today than in the past. The most dangerous neighborhood would be considered a relatively safe space by our not-so-distant ancestors. The exception to this is during times of war. As one general put it: “the clearest way to show what the rule of law means to us in everyday life is to recall what has happened when there is no rule of law.”

As nations grow closer together through technology, trade and treaties, warfare is again decreasing. It has been generations since conscription in many nations. War between the democracies is almost unthinkable today. There is still constant warfare on the world stage because nascent international laws often have no teeth and are applied selectively. However warfare today is on a much diminished scale compared to the past. The final step to ending war is to create more effective security arrangements for individuals and communities, particularly those that wish to break away from countries they no longer desire to be a part of. The question of our times is whether this is tried through the imposition of a secretive global oligarchy with dictatorial ambitions or with the participation of a more educated, informed public. Only the latter approach is sustainable.

The Emperor’s Old Clothes

“The higher I go, the crookeder it becomes.”

- Michael Corleone, Godfather Part III

Understandably, many are strongly opposed to the idea of international law. The more distant the government, the more difficult it is to keep it accountable. A higher concentration of power also tends towards more corruption. Stories that leak out of existing international bodies do not dispel

concerns. Nationalistic conditioning, complete with flags and anthems, feeds yet more resistance to the idea of more individual and regional sovereignty, protected by an international bill of rights.

Beyond this, our daily experiences with national and lower levels of government are rife with abuses of power and other forms of corruption. Politicians often finish dead last in surveys on the trust accorded to a profession. Who in their right mind would want more of this, at a higher almost untouchable level?

The first question to ask is how those elected to high office are ranked so low? To be fair, politicians are in the spotlight, along with their shortcomings. Constituents can condemn the human failings of a politician while ignoring their own similar transgressions. That said, the lack of trust is ultimately justified. Politicians are integral participants in what has been accurately termed a lying structure. This method of ruling has predominated for millennia.

The lying structure political model was codified some 500 years ago in a book entitled *The Prince* by high court diplomat Nicolo Machiavelli. The term Machiavellian is often used as a pejorative, meaning underhanded and unethical. However, Machiavelli was simply being forthright about what it took to advance in the ruling structure of his day. He concluded that we could not do good without power, but we cannot gain power, nor keep it, without doing evil. The reason he gave for this was the people themselves. Many would abandon their leader if they thought another power seeker could offer them more. His view was that wise leaders don’t trust the people any more than the people trust their leaders.

Machiavelli observed that: “princes who have little regard for their word have achieved great things, being the experts at beguiling man’s minds. In the end, these princes overcame those who relied solely on loyalty.” He ignored the good side of humanity to say “one can make this generalization about people: they are ungrateful, fickle, liars, and deceivers, they shun danger and are greedy for profit; while you treat them well, they are yours. They would shed their blood for you, risk their property, their lives, their children, so long as I said above, the danger is remote, but when you are in danger, they turn against you.”

Because rival claimants to power can be unscrupulous, the current leaders needed to act likewise to defend themselves. Nice guys finish last was Machiavelli’s observation. Consequently, he advised, “It is necessary that the prince should know how to color his nature well, and how to be a great hypocrite and dissembler.” He went so far as to argue that it was irresponsible to apply to political action the moral standards that are appropriate to private life. He reasoned that disorder would result, and the people would suffer more.

Machiavelli viewed public morality as only another tool for manipulation. He wrote: “a leader doesn’t have to possess all the virtuous qualities, but it’s absolutely imperative that he seems to possess them.” The crowd is won over “by appearances,” and as “the world is all crowd,” appearances matter. He expressed admiration for “a

certain prince of present times, whom it is not well to name, never preaches anything but peace and faith, and is very hostile to both.”

Over a century after Machiavelli’s defining work, Englishman Thomas Hobbes followed up with an equally pessimistic look at humanity. In his most famous work, *Leviathan*, he posited: “in the first place, I put forth a general inclination of all mankind, a perpetual and restless desire of power after power, that ceases only in death.” Hobbes argued that individuals living in a state of nature were constantly at war, did not know right from wrong, and lived lives that were “solitary, poor, nasty, brutish, and short.” He advocated rule by an absolute dictator. Otherwise, “during the time men live without a common power to keep them all in awe, they are in that condition called war; and such a war, as if of every man, against every man.” History proved Hobbes wrong. Totalitarian dictatorships lost out, as constitutional democracies proved far safer and more stable.

The Machiavellian or lying structure still predominates today. It underlies the false reality projected by our mainstream media, educational facilities, and other institutions. People tend to vote

for whoever promises the most, regardless of broken promises in the past. Leaders who are immoral and cater to the selfish side of humanity usually end up on top. For most people, it is easier to fool them than convince them they have been fooled. As difficult as it is to accept, as an aggregate, the people do get the government they deserve. It’s no one’s fault of course. Previous human evolution got us here. The selfish gene lurking deep within us needs to be better directed to get us out of here. The question is how best to break out of the cycle of mutual distrust to create a participative, empowering future for all. The communications technology is at last here to make a post-Machiavellian society possible.

Machiavelli’s most well-known statement is that “it’s much safer to be feared than loved.” The reasoning is that fear is a more powerful emotion than loyalty or friendship for most. However, fear also breeds hatred. Machiavelli must have sensed the downside to his advice as he also wrote: “the best fortress is to be found in the love of the people, for although you may have fortresses, they will not save you if the people hate you.” It is instructive that the ruler who Machiavelli dedicated his book to rose above many of the Machiavellian precepts. Lorenzo de’ Medici did gain the love of many of his subjects through the tumultuous times of his day. Machiavelli did not gain the position at the prince’s court he was angling for with his book of advice.

It seems bad luck for Machiavelli that he was drawn to one of the better rulers of his day. The immoral methods he promoted as necessary did hold sway over most rulers of his day. More importantly, the Machiavellian method continued to prevail over time. In recent history, Machiavellianism has led to totalitarian governments enslaving and sometimes millions of their citizens.

It’s instructive that nations did not provide a refuge for individuals. The current covid coup attempt is just the latest example where national borders failed to protect their constituents from totalitarian policies. Something more than nations is needed to guarantee individual rights. It’s time to build out new effective governing structures from the grassroots up, with a solid Universal Declaration of Human Rights providing security for the sovereignty of each individual and regional governments from national or other would-be tyrants.

(Continued on page 12)

“
Totalitarian dictatorships lost out, as constitutional democracies proved far safer and more stable.
”

Proofs of a Conspiracy

If indeed sometimes I do happen to tell the truth, I hide it among so many lies that it is hard to find.

- Niccolo Machiavelli

Some super-elites have owned up to their Machiavellian bent in published books that anyone can access through the public library system. Insider economist John Keynes came clean in his 1931 book *Essays in Persuasion*. He wrote, “for at least another hundred years, we must pretend to ourselves and to everyone that fair is foul and foul is fair; for foul is useful and fair is not. Avarice and usury and precaution must be our gods for a little longer still. For only they can lead us out of the tunnel of economic necessity into daylight.” In other words, Keynes followed Machiavelli’s advice that it is necessary to do evil in order to do good.

Jesuit and Georgetown University Professor Carroll Quigley, described by past U.S. President Bill Clinton as a mentor, wrote a book entitled *Tragedy and Hope, a History of the World in Our Time* (1966). In this massive tome, he laid bare the reality behind Keynesian economics. He wrote: “The powers of financial capitalism had a far-reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences.” Quigley also related that he was an insider with this group, had studied its inner workings for years, and approved of their overall aims.

Arnold J. Toynbee served as a director of the highly influential Royal Institute of International Affairs. He admitted his adherence to Machiavellian principles when he wrote: “we are at present working, discreetly with all our might, to wrest this mysterious political force called sovereignty out of the clutches of the local national states of our world. And all the time we are denying with our lips what we are doing with our hands. It is just because we are really attacking the principle of local sovereignty that we keep on protesting our loyalty to it so loudly. To impugn the sovereignty of the local nation-states of the world is still a heresy for which a statesman or publicist can perhaps not quite be burned at the stake, but certainly be ostracized or discredited.”

In his 2002 book *Memoirs*, United Nations stalwart and financier David Rockefeller did not mince words when he penned: “for more than a century, ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents such as my encounter with Castro to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as ‘internationalists’ and conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure — one world if you will. If that’s the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”

The preceding is but a tiny sampling of the evidence of the super-elite push to weaken national

sovereignty in favor of global, elitist governance and to do so by Machiavellian means. A key point here is that the conspiracy to create a world government is not a monolithic block as is sometimes presented. Like every other significant human endeavor, there are competing factions, outliers, and shifting alliances. As Keynes, Quigley, Toynbee, Rockefeller, and others make clear, even the injunction to secrecy is not something all feel bound to. A caveat is that when these insiders made their honest statements, they felt confident their grip on the mass media and educational systems would ensure our political reality would not become widespread knowledge. Rightly, it was assumed that few people would read the original works in low circulation journals or plow through Quigley’s book of more than 1300 pages. The Internet is a game-changer here. The relevant quotes were pulled from their obscurity and given wide circulation in a concise format, along with references to the original works. Belated, futile attempts to stifle information on the Internet is not so much to forestall fake news as to try to put this information genie back in the bottle. However, that genie can give us our best wishes, including accountable, transparent governance at all levels. Sounds impossible? Stay tuned. There has been decades of work done on promoting individual sovereignty by accredited lawyers, economists, civil rights leaders and more. The time has come to put these into action, or bow down to would-be tyrants.

Rocketing Through the Ages

All great things have small beginnings.

– Peter Senge, The Fifth Discipline, the Art and Science of Systems Thinking.

‘Change is the only constant’ is a well known maxim that generally holds true. However, there is an important distinction to be made between continuous change and discontinuous change. Continuous change is ongoing and gradual, a part of everyday life, like growing older or progressing through school. Discontinuous change is disruptive and divergent, creating upheaval, as in a job loss or the death of a partner. One’s way of life can be abruptly altered.

An entire society can undergo discontinuous change. For example, revolutions and wars can set a nation on a new path.

The most dramatic discontinuity in human affairs is referred to as an age change. In an age change, inventions and innovations lead to fundamentally new ways of doing things across many nations and peoples. World history enters a new phase. Now is such a time. Leading societies are entering the knowledge age; with the usual pushback from an out of touch elite. Previous human ages were the hunter-gatherer age, the agricultural age, the industrial age and the digital age.

Humanity has evolved from primitive tribes with crude stone tools to attain a society in which information can flash around the world at 70% of the speed of light on fibre optic cables (almost 100% in laboratories). The stone tools to space travel progress occurred in a remarkably short time, throwing doubt on the standard evolutionary concepts of blind variation and selective retention. A trip back in time provides some context and illuminates humanity’s miraculous progress..

The hunter-gatherer age began about three million years ago when early human species became meat eaters. Carrion and disabled animals provided rich food energy in substantial amounts. The survival advantage was increased by the development of rudimentary hunting tools and strategies to bring down healthy animals. Hunting tactics and tools also proved critical for success in clashes with other tribes. Hunter-gatherers evolved into modern humans. Other early human species, like the Australopiths, remained plant eaters and became extinct.

The agricultural age began to supplant the hunter-gatherer age about 10,000 years ago. Cultivating crops and domesticating animals increased the food supply yet again. Nomadic life faded as settlements grew up around the new sources of food energy. Warfare increased as growing populations led to more territorial clashes. Hunter-gathering tribes slow to adapt were destroyed or absorbed by the larger populations and superior resources of agricultural age peoples.

Cities and civilizations arose out of the conquests and alliances. Money was invented but ledgers, barter, and self-produced consumption dominated the economy. Industrial production made up less than five percent of the economy and included such things as metalwork for weaponry and luxury clothing. In 1701, Jethro Tull developed a much improved seed drill. It was an early agricultural mechanical device that planted seeds efficiently at the correct depth and spacing. He also developed a better plough and a horse drawn hoe to churn the earth between rows of plants. Tull’s added efficiencies laid the basis for modern agriculture and freed up farm labor for the dawning industrial age.

The industrial age started with more complex mechanical inventions. In 1698, Thomas Savery patented the first commercially used steam device, building on millenia of experiments with steam power. The manually operated invention pumped water out of mines and helped increase the production of coal and metals. It also pumped water into buildings and population centers. The boost to coal energy, metals and the water supply of cities fit well with the requirements of the industrial age. A previous employee of Savery, blacksmith Thomas Newcomen, worked under Savery’s patent to create a steam engine in 1712, that did not require manual labor to operate. It was a significant step up but not much used for purposes beyond that of the original design. James Watt sporadically improved on Newcomen’s design from 1763 to 1775. The result was that the steam engine became a world changer. It boosted production in farming and manufacturing. It also helped create the railroads to revolutionize travel and freighting. Ships with steam engines were freed from the vagaries of the winds.

Steam engines heralded the end of the cottage industries and the birth of mass production. The 1784 invention of the power loom, driven by a steam engine, increased the output of a weaver by over a factor of 40. Clothing became plentiful and inexpensive. The arrival of the steam tractor in 1812 gave farmers horsepower that didn’t get tired or need to be fed and sheltered. At a world’s fair in 1855, a steam thresher was demonstrated that could replace 123 farm workers. Even without the steam engine, industrial age materials led to improvements, Cyrus McCormick’s 1831 horse drawn reaper allowed one person to cut 40 acres in a day, whereas previously it took five people. Food production increased dramatically even as people migrated to towns and cities.

“Belated, futile attempts to stifle information on the Internet is not so much to forestall fake news as to try to put this information genie back in the bottle”

“stone tools to space travel progress occurred in a remarkably short time by the usual evolutionary precepts.”

(Continued from page 12)

Many of those uprooted by the mechanization of agriculture found work in the new manufactories of the burgeoning urban centers. As the agricultural age came to a close, less than three percent of the population was required to produce food surpluses in the developed nations. Tribes and countries slow to leave the agricultural age were easy prey for conquest and colonization by the superior weaponry and technology of the industrializing countries.

The harnessing of electricity occurred in tandem with the mechanical inventions and steam power to take industrial age life to another level. In 1600, the year Shakespeare wrote Hamlet, William Gilbert coined the term electricity within a six volume work that included the discovery of the earth’s magnetic pole. However, it wasn’t until a century and a half later that Benjamin Franklin discovered that electricity had positive and negative elements and that electricity flowed between these elements. In 1752, Franklin conducted his famous kite experiment. Michael Faraday invented the first electric motor in 1822 and laid the groundwork for future electrical innovations. In the late 1800s, Nicola Tesla and Thomas Edison lit up cities. Tesla’s alternating current electricity distribution system eventually won out over Edison’s direct current design to bring electricity into households everywhere.

Electricity also revolutionized personal communications, enabling the invention of the telegraph and then telephone. For mass communications, radios and televisions supplemented the newspaper; which had previously supplanted the town crier and travelling minstrels of the agricultural age. Transportation took a leap forward when electricity sparked the inventions of the gasoline and diesel engines. Gas engines then overtook steam engines in the development of the automobile and made early powered flight possible.

By 1956, about 70 percent of the workforce was directly engaged in manufacturing activities in the leading countries. Then, increasing automation led to a gradual reduction in blue-collar employment and a rise in non-essential white-collar activities, such as advertising and finance. The digital age was on the horizon.

Early efforts at computer development, started with the Babbage analytical machine in the 1830’s. Alan Turing jump started successively more complex calculators leading to the first fully functional digital computer in 1946. The Electronic Numerical Integrator and Computer (ENIAC) occupied about 1,800 square feet, used about 18,000 vacuum tubes and weighed almost 50 tons. Over the subsequent years, advances in mainframe computers made them a necessity for successful large organizations. In 1964, the first desktop computer was unveiled to the public at the New York World’s Fair. About 44,000 were sold to businesses. The first personal computer (PC), the Kenbak-1, was introduced in 1971. Unassembled PCs were promoted to the early geek culture by magazines such as *Popular Electronics* and *Radio Electronics*.

The general public was welcomed to the digital age in 1977, when three pre-assembled mass-produced PCs hit the marketplace. The Apple II, the Tandy Radio Shack TRS-80, and the Commodore PET or Personal Electronic Transactor required nothing more than an electrical outlet to set up. The first workable prototype of the Internet was invented in the late 1960s by the Advanced Research Projects Agency Network or ARPANET. This blossomed into the World Wide Web in 1991, and connected the PCs of the people to a growing trea-

sure trove of information. Search engines made information retrieval instantaneous and easy. The growing decentralized and democratized communication structures weakened the elite control of the mass media and planted the seeds of the knowledge age.

The time between age changes decreased by magnitudes as knowledge built on knowledge to grow in an almost exponential fashion. Also, larger populations that could communicate easily could do things that smaller populations could not undertake, something termed the increment of association. An individual can build a boat, but it takes a city to build a ship. Three million years ago, the hunter-gatherer age started, 10,000 years ago the agricultural age came on the scene, 400 years ago the industrial age began and about 40 years ago the digital age came to life. The amazing changes wrought over this time suggest a higher power at work.

Over the next few years, as the knowledge age comes into being, humanity could enter a new phase of civilization. The widespread transparency made possible by the communications technology of the digital age has the capability to complete an over-arching discontinuity that spans all previous human ages. With the birth of the knowledge age, the secrecy necessary to the Machiavellian lying structure is fading. The predominance of force and deception in politics could shift to the rule of ethics and morality; Machiavelli’s retirement party as it were.

The over-arching discontinuity would require a new word, as it would be a new phenomena in human history. In geological terms, we have the epoch, period, era and eon. So far, in human terms we have the age. There is a potential limitless horizon of wonders ahead for the human race.

Alternatively, current misguided efforts to return to the authoritarianism and totalitarianism of the past could reverse progress. The great reset promoted by the elites is aptly named. It implies a regression rather than an evolution. Society could again devolve to lord and serf dynamics. In this event, technology would provide a temporary cushion. The immediate effect could very well be a general rise in the material standard of living for many. The reason for this is

that the sabotage of our current technological capabilities by obsolete institutions would be lifted. The sabotage was put in place in order to dominate people and would no longer be necessary under a police state in a worldwide totalitarian system. Then, much like the Soviet Union, there will be a violent, apocalyptic collapse, only this time the venue will be global and the violence will be epic. A new dark age, perhaps the final one, will be the result. Excessive concentration of power always leads to the same result in human affairs. It’s not just the historical record that proves this out. Lord Acton’s dictum that “power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely” is ultimately based on logic. This will be covered in future chapters.

What’s in a Name

“The question is,” said Alice, “whether you can make words mean so many different things. “The question is,” said Humpty Dumpty, “which is to be master—that’s all.”

- C.S. Lewis - Alice in Wonderland

Futurist Alvin Toffler used the analogy of the third wave to describe the digital age. In 1982,

insider Zbigniew Brzezinski wrote of an emerging technotronic era in *Between Two Ages*. The ill-fitting information age became the mainstream term for some time. The digital age was then relabelled by the elites as the ‘third industrial age’, reminiscent of Toffler’s third wave description. For these elites, centered in the World Economic Forum (WEF), the steam-powered industrial age became the first industrial age, while the electrified industrial age was considered the second industrial age. By splitting up the industrial age, the elites missed important factors, such as steam inventions and electricity often went hand in hand, with the steam locomotive travelling beside electrified telegraph wires. The predominance of employment was in manufacturing throughout this largely artificial division. The third industrial age label is even stranger in that the digital age holds little of what most people think of as industrialization. The emerging knowledge age has been given the title of the fourth industrial age to add more confusion to the mix.

The agricultural age, the industrial age, the digital age and the knowledge age continue to be better descriptors. They reflect the dominant characteristics of each age and so are easier to understand and communicate. This might make the terms unfashionable among those who prefer wordplay that is obtuse; the better to limit the involvement of the general public it seems. A lack of ability on the part of the average person is the unspoken reason given for the exclusivity. However, even where this is true, it is due to a deficient education system designed by the very same elite who, in the main, consider themselves innately superior. The truth is the elites were either born with privileges that shielded them from the deficiencies of the educational systems, or had a God given immunity to such indoctrination, much like their opposites, the revolutionaries of each age.

It’s worth pointing out another possible reason behind the elite age descriptions is that the first, second, third and fourth industrial ages suggest a certain continuity; one that doesn’t involve the elite changeout that generally accompanies an age change. The very concept of elitism might lose its current meaning in this age change and become extemporaneous, where situational leadership holds sway in system with real education.

Discontinuity and the Deep State

Power is not an institution, and not a structure; neither is it a certain strength we are endowed with; it is the name that one attributes to a complex strategic situation in a particular society.

- Michel Foucault

Alvin Toffler wrote a groundbreaking trilogy on the birth of the digital age. The bestsellers were entitled *Future Shock*, *The Third Wave*, and *Powershift*. The books were published 10 years apart. *Future Shock* (1970), promoted a theme of people and societies being overwhelmed by the age change, with many going into a form of shock, having difficulty making sense of their fast-changing world. In *The Third Wave* (1980), new ways of creating wealth and governance were among the topics. The book predicted transnational powers and separatist movements would increasingly weaken the nation state from above and below. The traditional idea of a world government accomplished by a unification of nation states would fade. Periodic polling at elections in the democracies were forecasted to move toward more direct interactions between the government and its constituents. *Powershift* (1990) explored new concepts of knowledge, wealth and force driven by the new digital age technologies. The empowerment of the average citizen was the focus, rather than the headline grabbing leadership changes at high levels. Toffler postulated that power is not merely transferred in an age change, it is transformed.

(Continued on page 14)

(Continued from page 13)

Revolutionary Wealth, published in 2006 and co-authored with wife Heidi Toffler, expanded on the concepts covered in the trilogy. Most notably, the book took into account the emergence of the World Wide Web, which started a year after *Power-shift* was published. Topics in *Revolutionary Wealth* included the ramifications of a general populace having easy access to points of view outside the mainstream media. Cyberspace was considered important in how it affected the synchronization of work and play over larger geographical areas by both elites and the grassroots.

In *The Third Wave*, there was a grim recounting of the transition from the agricultural age to the industrial age. An age already rife with violence became even moreso. In Alvin Toffler’s words, the age change involved: “one long blood-drenched drama of wars, revolts, famines, forced migrations, coups d’état, and calamities”. In assessing the start of the digital age, he concluded, “today [1980] the stakes are much higher, the time shorter, the acceleration faster, and the dangers even greater”. Alvin Toffler went on to warn: “Much depends on the flexibility and intelligence of the elites, sub-elites, and super-elites. If these groups prove to be as shortsighted, unimaginative and frightened as most ruling groups in the past, they will rigidly resist the third wave [digital age] and thereby escalate the risks of violence and their own destruction.”

Alvin Toffler knew that age changes threaten existing power structures. Elites invested and experienced in the old ways of doing things are usually slow to take advantage of the efficiencies of new inventions and innovations. For example, as the industrial age got underway, the aristocracy gave way to elected legislatures. Some were more successful than others in avoiding “violence and their own destruction”. English and Dutch royalty segued into comfortable ceremonial roles while French and Russian royalty met tragic ends. Either way, the hereditary rulers of the agricultural age gave way to the elected legislatures of the industrial age.

Arrested Development

Power concedes nothing without a demand. It never did and it never will.

- Frederick Douglass

A decade or so after the publication of *The*

Third Wave, the establishment mantra was that the information age had arrived. In terms of technological capability this was true. In terms of society in general, the disinformation age would be a better fit. The elites overall did “rigidly resist” institutional change for the age change. However, they were not overthrown or superseded as Toffler thought likely and as had happened in previous age changes. Instead, these elites co-opted the rise of the tech giants and other upstarts of the digital age through their control of finance and the mass media. New players that didn’t get on board with the old guard were starved of funds while facing well-funded opposition. Revolutionary players that managed to end-run the funding structure of the controlled banks faced a hostile mass media, which at that time was more effective at creating a hostile populace. Together, this one-two punch confined nascent digital age institutions to the backwaters.

“ These institutions were devised before there were telephones, televisions, radios, computers, instantaneous trillion-dollar global transfers, or the capability to destroy the environment. ”

The mass media, central banks, state schools, legislative bodies, corporate structures and so on that emerged with the industrial age remained largely unchanged in the digital age. These institutions were devised before there were telephones, televisions, radios, computers, instantaneous trillion-dollar global transfers, or the capability to destroy the environment. Economic progress is still measured by Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which bizarrely measures a murder and a marriage to be of equal value, if the same amount of money changes hands. The saying ‘war is good for business’ is part of this madness.

Amazing technological advances covered many of the cracks caused by the obsolete institutions. Super production and new distractions sated enough of the general population so the industrial age elites could continue despite their shortcomings. However, like most

temporary fixes, the necessary foundational work is only delayed, not forgone.

Institutional changes are at the heart of successful age changes. As the agricultural age gave way to the industrial age, political institutions changed from feudalistic aristocracies to somewhat representative democracies. Economic institutions went from primarily barter and self-produced consumption to widespread capitalism and communism. Social institutional changes included extended families giving way to nuclear families and massive migration to urban centers. With fits and starts, the dramatic institutional changes worked in tandem with the emerging technologies of the time to lift civilizations both materially and morally.

Because institutional changes were largely stillborn in the digital age, many facets of society degraded, even as new technology made more possible. Just a few decades ago, it was common to leave houses and other possessions unlocked in most areas of the developed nations. Now everything is locked up tight, often alarmed and with bars on the windows of buildings in many areas. Security cameras are common. The income of many of the essential workers has been falling in real terms for decades. Growing homeless encampments have surpassed those of the dirty thirties in some areas.

The social decline in the digital age is in stark contrast to the significant improvements wrought during humanity’s advances through the agricultural and industrial ages. Rather than the temporary dislocation caused by a new age, a general malaise has set in and deepened with time, as the needed institutional changes were put off. For many, the digital age became a dark age of the soul, with economic, intellectual and cultural decline. American professor Brene Brown points out the world’s leading country is riddled with “the most in-debt, obese, addicted and medicated adult cohort in U.S. history.”

To be fair, there has been significant progress in such things as ecological awareness and bigotry of all kinds. Warfare has also diminished dramatically. However, the progress against bigotry is unravelling, as elites ramp up the age-old divide and conquer strategy, as they become increasingly desperate to continue their dominance. Ecological issues are misused to promote centralized elite power, thereby discrediting them as well with many. The idea of globalism has been likewise besmirched. Progress against warfare could similarly regress, as an awakening populace, driven by the eternal human urge for freedom will only take so much.

Own or be Owned

The Universal Basic Ownership (UBO) is a superior solution to issues such as job automation and environmental sustainability than a Universal Basic Income (UBI). The design behind UBO has been worked on for decades by lawyers and economists. It had its genesis in a discipline known as binary economics.

With UBO, citizens are active, involved participants in the larger economy, creating a sense of belonging and interest in governance.


From trust fund recipients to welfare cases, people suffer from a ‘crisis of meaning’ with the passivity and detachment that comes with a UBI. The concentration of power inherent in UBI is also undesirable and unsustainable.

Stay tuned for an interesting synthesis and ideas for prototypes that maximize individual freedom and environmental sustainability with binary economics.

“Unless you have a free press, there is no need to buy newspapers and there is no need to watch the news because there is no need to listen to the lies! And you already have one real information: You are being deceived by the people you are governed by! This is enough information for you!”

- Mehmet Murat ildan, novelist

Our Federal subsidy seems to be late. Until it arrives, can you please donate?



All Sovereign News donations go towards print and web hosting costs. There will an Audit Tab on the website for full transparency. Newspapers are fading but still promise to be an important supplement to online truth in media projects.



Please see sovereignnews.com Donate button is at top left

COVID Outbreak Sparked by Fully Vaccinated Patient Challenges Vaccine-Induced Herd Immunity Theory

A paper published Sept. 30, in *Eurosurveillance* showed COVID spread rapidly by a fully vaccinated patient to fully vaccinated staff, patients and family members — despite a 96% vaccination rate and use of full personal protective equipment. Five patients died and nine had severe cases.

By Megan Redshaw

A paper published Sept. 30 in *Eurosurveillance* raises questions about the legitimacy of ‘vaccine-generated herd immunity. The study cites a COVID outbreak which spread rapidly among hospital staff at an Israeli Medical Center — despite a 96% vaccination rate, use of N-95 surgical masks by patients and full personal protective equipment worn by providers.

The calculated rate of infection among all exposed patients and staff was 10.6% (16/151) for staff and 23.7% (23/97) for patients, in a population with a 96.2% vaccination rate (238 vaccinated/248 exposed individuals).

The paper noted several transmissions likely occurred between two individuals both wearing surgical masks, and in one instance using full PPE, including N-95 mask, face shield, gown and gloves.

Of the 42 cases diagnosed in the outbreak, 38 were fully vaccinated with two doses of Pfizer and BioNTech’s Comirnaty vaccine, one had received only one vaccination and three were unvaccinated.

Of the infected, 23 were patients and 19 were staff members. The staff all recovered quickly. However, eight vaccinated patients became severely ill, six became critically ill and five of the critically ill died. The two unvaccinated patients tracked had mild COVID cases.

The authors concluded: “This communication ... challenges the assumption that high universal vaccination rates will lead to herd immunity and prevent COVID-19 outbreaks ... In the outbreak described here, 96.2% of the exposed population was vaccinated. Infection advanced rapidly (many cases became symptomatic within 2 days of exposure), and viral load was high.”

According to the paper, the outbreak originated from a fully vaccinated haemodialysis patient in his/her 70s who was admitted with fever and cough and placed in a room with three other patients.


The patient had not been tested for SARS-CoV-2 on admission day, because his/her symptoms were mistaken for a possible bloodstream infection exacerbating congestive heart failure.

To determine the source of the outbreak, researchers conducted phylogenetic analysis on the whole-genome SARS-CoV-2 sequences that were available for 12 cases in the outbreak, including staff and patients from Wards A, B and C and dialysis departments.

All were infected with the Delta variant and epidemiologically and phylogenetically connected to the same outbreak, except for one case. That case and three staff members were not considered part of the outbreak.

“This is a very interesting paper and it is scientifically very sound,” said Dr. Brian Hooker, Ph.D., P.E., Children’s Health Defense chief scientific officer and professor of biology at Simpson University.

“The breakthrough rate of 96.2% of the vaccinated population shows that in this instance, the vaccine was virtually useless in preventing transmission,” Hooker said. “It should also be noted the two reported cases among unvaccinated patients were mild, whereas six of the vaccinated patients died.”



Increases in COVID-19 are unrelated to levels of vaccination across 68 countries and 2947 counties in the United States

A study published Sept. 30, in the peer-reviewed *European Journal of Epidemiology* Vaccines found “no discernible relationship” between the percentage of population fully vaccinated and new COVID cases.

In fact, the study found the most fully vaccinated nations had the highest number of new COVID cases, based on the researchers’ analysis of emerging data during a seven-day period in September.

The authors said the sole reliance on vaccination as a primary strategy to mitigate COVID-19 and its adverse consequences “needs to be re-examined,” especially considering the Delta (B.1.617.2) variant and the likelihood of future variants.

They wrote: “Other pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions may need to be put in place alongside increasing vaccination rates. Such course correction, especially with regards to the policy narrative, becomes paramount with emerging scientific evidence on real-world effectiveness of the vaccines.”

As part of the study, researchers investigated the relationship between the percentage of population fully vaccinated and new COVID cases across 68 countries and 2,947 U.S. counties that had second dose vaccine, and available COVID case data.

Editor’s Note: It’s important to realize that other studies have found correlations between vaccinations and fewer hospitalizations and deaths. Regardless, the call for a wide range of remedy approaches, based on specific individuals and their doctors is standard healthcare. The evidence is overwhelming that what is happening now is not healthcare, but politics.

Cry Wolf Phenomenon

Perhaps the biggest problem in optimizing the response to covid is a lack of trust in medical and other authorities in many countries. Starting with the admitted lying about masking and on downward, through hypocrisy and unbelievable inconsistencies, it can be seen the lack of trust is justified.

When the authorities make a valid point about covid amelioration, there is a tendency to ignore or question them for those privy to the inconsistencies. It is like the story of the boy who cried wolf when there was no wolf. When the wolf came, people still thought he was lying and ignored him.

The official covid response is one more indication our current institutions are obsolete and need changing; for future pandemics and much more.

The Sovereign Coming Next Issue

A look at investigations into the creation and spread of covid in Canada and elsewhere.

More on spotting lies and practiced liars.

The Big Picture continues with *the ownership solution* and possible prototypes for parallel political and economic structures.

A Sovereign Constitutional Republic of Alberta



FREEDOM THROUGH INDEPENDENCE

Beyond right-wing/left-wing labels.

What do you think?

Our mission is to inform, educate, engage, and inspire all Albertans on the rationale and merits of an independent Alberta untethered to Canada; how we will make it happen; and how we will assuage the concerns about Alberta Independence.

Our Values are Honesty, Integrity, Trust, Transparency, Accountability, Responsibility, Competency, Compassion, Fairness.

Join us in a journey to freedom through independence.



Not politics as Usual. AlbertaUnity.Org for detailed policies and more

12,000+ Medical Professionals & Counting

We the physicians of the world, united and loyal to the Hippocratic Oath, recognizing the profession of medicine as we know it is at a crossroad, are compelled to declare the following;

WHEREAS, it is our utmost responsibility and duty to uphold and restore the dignity, integrity, art and science of medicine;

WHEREAS, there is an unprecedented assault on our ability to care for our patients;

WHEREAS, public policy makers have chosen to force a “one size fits all” treatment strategy, resulting in needless illness and death, rather than upholding fundamental concepts of the individualized, personalized approach to patient care which is proven to be safe and more effective;

WHEREAS, physicians and other health care providers working on the front lines, utilizing their knowledge of epidemiology, pathophysiology and pharmacology, are often first to identify new, potentially life saving treatments;

WHEREAS, physicians are increasingly being discouraged from engaging in open professional discourse and the exchange of ideas about new and emerging diseases, not only endangering the essence of the medical profession, but more importantly, more tragically, the lives of our patients;

WHEREAS, thousands of physicians are being prevented from providing treatment to their patients, as a result of barriers put up by pharmacies, hospitals, and public health agencies, rendering the vast majority of healthcare providers helpless to protect their patients in the face of disease. Physicians are now advising their patients to simply go home (allowing the virus to replicate) and return when their disease worsens, resulting in hundreds of thousands of unnecessary patient deaths, due to failure-to-treat;

WHEREAS, this is not medicine. This is not care. These policies may actually constitute crimes against humanity.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS:

RESOLVED, that the physician-patient relationship must be restored. The very heart of medicine is this relationship, which allows physicians to best understand their patients and their illnesses, to formulate treatments that give the best chance for success, while the patient is an active participant in their care.

RESOLVED, that the political intrusion into the practice of medicine and the physician/patient relationship must end. Physicians, and all health care providers, must be free to practice the art and science of medicine without fear of retribution, censorship, slander, or disciplinary action, including possible loss of licensure and hospital privileges, loss of insurance contracts and interference from government entities and organizations – which further prevent us from caring for patients in need. More than ever, the right and ability to exchange objective scientific findings, which further our understanding of disease, must be protected.

RESOLVED, that physicians must defend their right to prescribe treatment, observing the tenet FIRST, DO NO HARM. Physicians shall not be restricted from prescribing safe and effective treatments. These restrictions continue to cause unnecessary sickness and death. The rights of patients, after being fully informed about the risks and benefits of each option, must be restored to receive those treatments.

RESOLVED, that we invite physicians of the world and all health care providers to join us in this noble cause as we endeavor to restore trust, integrity and professionalism to the practice of medicine.

RESOLVED, that we invite the scientists of the world, who are skilled in biomedical research and uphold the highest ethical and moral standards, to insist on their ability to conduct and publish objective, empirical research without fear of reprisal upon their careers, reputations and livelihoods.

RESOLVED, that we invite patients, who believe in the importance of the physician-patient relationship and the ability to be active participants in their care, to demand access to science-based medical care.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has signed this Declaration as of the date first written. The objective of the declaration is to reclaim their leadership role in conquering this pandemic. In concert with the declaration, the signatories have created a “Doctors and Scientists only” COVID information platform so that citizens can make informed decisions for their families without interruption, manipulation, politicization, or profiteering from external forces outside of the doctor-patient relationship.

The Rome Declaration

(The earlier Great Barrington Declaration on focused protection is approaching 60,000 signatures of medical practitioners and public health scientists; as well as over half a million concerned citizens signing. Evidence is presented that the harms caused by lockdowns outweigh any potential benefits.