

The Sovereign

And now....for the rest of the story

Spring 2022 - Vol. 2

sovereignnews.com

According to the World Economic Forum's founder and executive chairman Klaus Schwab, "more than half" of Trudeau's cabinet ministers were indoctrinated in the organization's Young Global Leaders program.



"There's No Need to Invent Conspiracy Theories"

Republished from The Niagara Independent
By Chris George - Headline SNN



MP Colin Carrie rose in the House of Commons to enter into the debate on the use of the Emergency Act, and began posing a question to the government benches, "Klaus Schwab is the head of the World Economic Forum, and he bragged how his subversive WEF has 'infiltrated' governments around the world. In the interests of transparency, could the member please name which cabinet ministers are on board with the WEF's agenda..."

The MP was interrupted by the Speaker who claimed the parliamentary audio and video were not working. Then MP Charlie Angus immediately took the floor on a point of order to say that Carrie was "openly promoting disinformation." With that outburst, the Speaker looked passed Carrie and recognized another MP to speak.

Carrie's question about the WEF and the Trudeau Cabinet was purposely ignored. So, "in the interests of transparency," here are the pertinent facts that were denied parliamentarians. First, to provide context, let's connect some dots.

The World Economic Forum (WEF) is an international organization that is based in Geneva, Switzerland. The WEF was established in 1971 and it organizes an annual summit for world leaders in Davos, Switzerland. Its website states, "The Forum engages the foremost political, business, cultural and other leaders of society to shape global, regional and industry agendas."

Klaus Schwab, a German octogenarian economist, is the founder and current Executive Chairman of the WEF. In the early 70's he wrote the original Davos Manifesto, and WEF makes the exalted claim that, "Stakeholder capitalism was born." Through the decades, Schwab has been central in establishing a global dialogue on creating a world order in which international bodies operating with the United Nations (U.N.) oversee global agendas — set at his Davos summits.

(See Agenda for Canada on page 16)



Canadian police gear up to besiege a bouncy castle in Ottawa.

Volunteers Welcome
Please Contact
info@sovereignnews.com

Alberta Prosperity Project



Freedom Through
Sovereignty

Child Sacrifices - p. 7



Help Save Our Future

The Great Awakening




The Knowledge Age



The Sovereign Self

Kingsley L. Dennis
kingsleydennis.com



'When you are with your sovereign Self, you can then walk in the noise again and show people that silence is here too, in your very own being. When you act forth from your Being – your Self – you ground the energy within you. You can be the quiet within the noise.' — Kaleb Seth Perl

Own Your Sovereignty

According to Prof. Mattias Desmet, psychotherapist and Professor of Clinical Psychology at Ghent University, mental health in our modern societies has been declining for decades. This is indicated, he says, by a steady increase in the number of depression and anxiety issues and the number of suicides. One of the consequences of this, in recent years, is the 'enormous growth in absenteeism due to psychological suffering and burnouts.' This malaise, we are informed, was growing exponentially even before the pandemic outbreak of 2020. What this suggests is that there was already a great deal of trauma being experienced within people and

(Continued on page 7)



Rogan and Malone: Most Important Interview of Our Time?

Fair use Children's Health Defense. Editor's Note: The legacy media, sold-out musicians and financial houses have launched a heavy counter-attack against Joe Rogan and his Spotify platform. Keywords on Duckduck-go will lead to the full interview.

In an interview with Joe Rogan, Dr. Robert Malone, mRNA vaccine expert and outspoken critic of our pandemic response, delivered a powerful message to the world. Yes, it's three hours long, and yes, it's worth every minute.

Something monumentally important happened in the closing days of 2021.

Joe Rogan, host of the widely viewed "Joe Rogan Experience" podcast, interviewed one of the world's most qualified and unbiased individuals about the safety and efficacy of the COVID-19 vaccines now deployed upon nearly 4 billion human beings.

Dr. Robert Malone, originally an academic

pathologist, has run more than 100 clinical trials mostly in the vaccine and drug re-purposing spaces.

He has been involved in nearly every infectious disease outbreak since the AIDS epidemic, has worked for the National Institutes of Health awarding millions of dollars in contracts for vaccines and bio-defense, and spent "countless hours" at Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Advisory Committee for Immunization Practices meetings.

Malone works closely with the Defense Threat Reduction Agency, knows Dr. Anthony Fauci personally and is possibly best known for his instrumental work in developing the platform for mRNA-based vaccine technologies more than 30 years ago.

On Dec. 30, 2021, Malone and Rogan sat down in Rogan's studio in Austin, Texas, and recorded a riveting three-hour conversation.

Rogan stands alone

as an independent voice outside of corporate media that is able to reach a politically and ideologically diverse audience of 11 million or more per episode.

Similarly, Malone is an outspoken critic of vaccine mandates who represents the opinion of a large and growing number of researchers and clinicians who believe our approach to the pandemic has been poorly conceived and stands in opposition to basic tenets of immunology, epidemiology and emerging real-world data.

It was clear both were prepared for the encounter. Rogan reported he had been following the doctor's tweets, has been reading everything Malone has been writing and was clearly versed in the latest and most salient scientific findings.

Malone, though honed by countless appearances on various platforms, admitted this interview was special because of its potential impact on public opinion.

The conversation opened with Malone summarizing his bonafides and then describing his approach to engaging his audiences.

"I try really hard to get people the information and help them to think, not tell them what to think," Malone said.

Malone was true to his method throughout, being careful to identify fact from speculation, noting what is observed without assuming intent while helping Rogan explore the rabbit holes that we inevitably encounter when choosing to look just a bit further beyond what has become socially acceptable.

“ Virtually all others that have [my] background have conflicts of interest...I am not getting any money out of this... ”

The two spoke of Malone's recent loss of his Twitter account. The doctor was banned from the platform without warning or explanation. He speculated it may have been due to one or both of his final two tweets, one which brought attention to the

Canadian COVID Care Alliance's summary of the Pfizer trial, the other to the World Economic Forum's strategy for managing media around COVID.

Rogan repeatedly voiced his concern around the silencing of opinions from legitimate experts.

Malone responded: "If it's not okay for me to be a part of the conversation ... even though I'm pointing out facts that may be inconvenient, then who can be allowed? Virtually all others that have [my] background have conflicts of interest...I am not getting any money out of this..."

Over the next two-and-a-half hours the two demonstrated how we can make sense of conflicting messaging and complex data by asking the right questions and being open to the answers, if they exist.

The vaccinologist, inventor and staunch advocate for informed consent told his personal story of getting COVID, suffering from long-COVID, getting the Moderna vaccination and suffering adverse events (stage III hypertension, heart arrhythmias, restless leg syndrome and narcolepsy) after the second jab.

Rogan took full advantage of his time with Malone, asking the questions media refuse to pose to their own identified "experts."

The result was a full-scale repudiation of our pandemic response from its inception to the vaccine mandates that

are in violation of the Nuremberg Code.

Malone and Rogan thoroughly probed many angles of our present situation from, T-cell dysfunction to the Trusted News Initiative.

Here are some of the key points discussed with time codes:

24:19: An estimated 500,000 COVID Deaths resulted from the suppression of Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine (HCQ).

25:39: Former head of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Dr. Janet Woodcock, intentionally prevented doctors from using HCQ outside of the hospital setting (HCQ is one of the few antiviral medications safe in pregnancy and is largely ineffective once a person has been hospitalized).

31:10: Pharma industry's systematic efforts to discredit ivermectin.

32:40: COVID deaths in the Indian State of Uttar Pradesh plummeted soon after packets of medicines were distributed to their population. It is suspected these packets included Ivermectin but this was never formally disclosed. This puzzling policy went into effect soon after a meeting between President Biden and Prime Minister Modi.

36:28: Increased risk of adverse events from vaccinating after SARS-COV2 infection.

38:40: 140 studies demonstrate natural



Cabins for Sale - Please call for options
Ready to Go Cabins. 12 x 20 or 12 x 25, insulated, wired, on skids, interior finished, flooring.
Delivery available for all items.



Betcher's Construction

Handyman Services **780-228-5932**



Outhouse, Dog House, Livestock or Storage Shed (12 x 23), suitable for storage or livestock. Floor and ramp available.

immunity is superior to vaccine-induced immunity. Natural immunity is 6- to 13-fold better than vaccination in preventing hospitalization.

43:44: The Trusted News Initiative employed to protect western elections from foreign influence was used to justify the suppression of “misinformation” around the pandemic.

50:15: Emails between NIH Director Francis Collins and Fauci demonstrate an intention to launch a smear campaign against the founders of the Great Barrington Declaration.

54:00: How is Israel (highly vaccinated) faring in comparison to Palestine (poorly vaccinated)?

57:00: Why is good data nearly impossible to find?

1:06:00: The regulatory process is broken because vaccine manufacturers are responsible for their own data (FDA is not doing its job as a regulatory body).

1:14:50: Arguably the best clinicians of our day are having their medical licensure attacked.

1:22:50: Hong Kong study demonstrates that 1 in 2,700 boys getting hospitalized with myocarditis after vaccination.

1:27:00: Lipid nanoparticles pose danger to ovaries.

1:46:30: Long COV-

“ Rep. Troy Nehls (R-Texas) submitted the full transcript of Rogan’s interview with Malone to the Congressional Record, where it cannot be censored.”

ID and post-vaccination syndrome are impossible to differentiate.

1:49:00: Dysregulation of T-cells after vaccination may be causing latent virus reactivation (e.g., shingles).

1:59:00: Omicron and the possible negative efficacy of vaccines.

2:06:20: What is Original Antigenic Sin?

2:20:00: Monoclonal antibody therapies are still important but have been limited by our authorities.

2:22:10: Vaccine mandates are illegal.

2:35:50: Pfizer is one of the most criminal pharmaceutical organizations in the world.

2:37:00: What are mass formation psychosis and tribalism?

2:53:00: We are having a worldwide epidemic of suicide in children.

Conclusion
Malone concludes, “There are two hills that I am willing to die on. The first is stopping the jabs on the children. [The other] is resisting the erosion of free speech ...”

It is clear the former can only be accomplished if the latter is as well. Though this three-hour exchange sheds light on the numerous challenges we face as a society, it can only result in real transformation if people are willing and able to listen.

Four days after this content was released, YouTube removed the video from its platform. It can still be seen on Bitchute.

As Malone repeatedly pointed out, Big Tech, Big Pharma and governmental authorities are concerted in their efforts to suppress any dissenting opinion at any cost, including the health of our children.

Clarity, it seems, will only emerge from sources untrammelled by corporate interests.

Thankfully the magnitude of the problem was not lost on Rogan who stated, “I am compelled to have people like you on because I don’t know where else this is going to get out.”

He’s right.

Rep. Troy Nehls (R-Texas) submitted the full transcript of Rogan’s interview with Malone to the Congressional Record, where it cannot be censored.

Follow the Money, Blackrock and Vanguard

After the U.S. Supreme Court froze the Biden administration’s COVID-19 vaccine mandate for large private employers, some companies — including Boeing, General Electric and Starbucks — dropped plans to implement the mandate. Others left the mandates in place.

Most of the large employers that opted to mandate COVID vaccines for their employees, even though the Supreme Court ruled they didn’t have to, have something in common: BlackRock and The Vanguard Group have ownership stakes in them.

BlackRock and Vanguard, two of the world’s “Big Three” asset managers, also are among the top three shareholders of COVID vaccine makers Pfizer, Moderna and Johnson & Johnson — which means the two investment giants stand to benefit from these companies’ soaring profits and the resulting rise in those companies’ stock prices.

BlackRock and Vanguard don’t just benefit from sales of COVID vaccines. As it turns out, they also have ownership stakes in technology companies developing vaccine passports and digital wallets.

Combined, BlackRock and Vanguard manage more than \$15 trillion in global assets.

The Sovereign

For the Rest of the Story

Website: sovereignnews.com

Email: admin@sovereignnews.com

If you enjoy reading the rest of the story, please consider donating at the website above or sending an etransfer to the email above. Businesses can also book advertisting through the email address.

“The liberty of the press is the palladium of all the civil, political and religious rights”

To put this figure into perspective, that amounts to more than three-fourths of the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) and more than triple the GDP of the European Union’s economic powerhouse, Germany.

Notably, Vanguard is the largest stockholder in BlackRock (7.61%), while BlackRock is the biggest stockholder in Vanguard (13.06%) — though the actual ownership structure of these companies has been described as “dark.”

In an August 2021 article about the two firms, Dr. Joseph Mercola pointed out that, far from the appearance of competition promised by capitalism, BlackRock and Vanguard own significant shares in companies that ostensibly compete directly with each other, such as Google, Apple and Microsoft, or Coca-Cola and PepsiCo.

This influence extends to the media. BlackRock alone owns significant shares in supposed “competitors” such as Fox News, CBS, Comcast (NBC), CNN, Disney (ABC), Gannett (USA TODAY and 250 daily newspapers throughout the U.S.), Sinclair Media (whose television stations reach 72% of the American public), and the Graham Media Group (Slate, Foreign Policy).

BlackRock is also politically influential and well-connected, having been chosen by

the Obama administration to buy up toxic assets following the 2007-2008 financial collapse.

In 2020, BlackRock received a no-bid contract from the U.S. Treasury Department to manage a \$454 billion fund, under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act (CARES Act), for businesses adversely impacted by the COVID lockdowns early that year. It wasn’t the first time BlackRock had been granted a no-bid contract from the federal government.

BlackRock along with other firms also is engaged in a real estate purchasing spree, buying up entire neighborhoods of single-family homes and converting them to rentals, driving up home prices by reducing supply on the marketplace.

BlackRock’s real estate strategy echoes the theme of the World Economic Forum: “You’ll own nothing, and you’ll be happy.”

This level of power and influence promoted none other than Bloomberg in 2020 to characterize BlackRock as the “fourth branch of government.”

It is unclear to what extent BlackRock and Vanguard are able to dictate the vaccination policies of the companies in which they hold a stake — but what is clear is that the two investment firms are among the top 10 stockholders in most of these companies.



Founded in 2010 as a voice for freedom in Canada’s courtrooms, the mission of the Justice Centre is to defend the constitutional freedoms of Canadians through litigation and education. We fight for Canada to become and remain a free society, where the equality of all Canadians and their individual freedoms are celebrated and respected.

Justice Centre

for Constitutional Freedoms


What can people do about these government restrictions?

Educate yourself and others. Share the articles, news releases, Covid Statistics by Province, The Docket newsletter, and other documents on the Resources page of our website with your neighbours, friends, family members and colleagues.

See jccf.ca for more.

“Free speech is the most important freedom”– Ezra Levant, author, and founder of Rebel Media.

Nobel Laureate Virologist - His Last Public Speech: It Is A Crime To Give These Vaccines To Our Children



Nobel laureate in medicine Luc Montagnier has died at the age of 89. The French virologist was awarded the Nobel Prize in Medicine in 2008 for his discovery of the HIV virus.

The news of his death was broadcast by the French News Agency, citing the mayor of the French city of Neuilly-sur-Seine. The relevant news was preceded by the French magazine France Soir, without however being confirmed by the family of the deceased.

The award-winning scientist had worked in many scientific institutes. He has been an emeritus director of research at CNRS, a professor at the Pasteur Institute, director of the Center for Molecular and Cell Bi-

ology at Queens College, New York City University, and director of research institute at Jiao-tong University in Shanghai. He also supported through his research the Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Medicine.

Lately he has been one of the most well-known voices that have spoken out against the

Covid vaccine, warning of the activation of serious side effects in the human body. Despite his advanced age, he took part in demonstrations against the compulsory Covid vaccination and a month ago spoke in the Luxembourg Parliament on this topic.

In a large rally held in Milan, Italy against the mandatory vaccination measures, Luc Montagnier stressed in his speech: "This vaccine does not protect. It is recognized by everyone to favor other infections. The protein used is toxic. There are so many deaths and so many young sportsmen who have heart problems because of this vaccine...it is a crime to give these vaccines to our children!"

Legacy media ignores the actual experts on ivermectin, publicizes layperson rebuttal.

Dr. Theresa Lawrie, MBBCh, Ph.D, a UK medical doctor and research analyst who served as a consultant for the World Health Organization, founded the British Ivermectin Recommendation Development initiative (BiRD International) and is co-founder of the new World Council for Health, a coalition of more than 80 international health advocacy organizations in more than 30 countries. "We are completely independent, funded by non-profits," Lawrie said. "We have never done any pharmaceutical industry-sponsored work."

Lawrie reviewed the results of her co-authored meta-analysis of 24 controlled trials of ivermectin, published in the American Journal of Therapeutics. She said the results showed ivermectin was 62% effective in preventing COVID mortality and 86% effective in preventing infection when taken preventatively.

"There have been misleading posts on the web," she said, including one by a journalist, posing as a med student, purporting to debunk the meta-analysis.

Lawrie said the original, professionally published article was ignored by the mainstream press, but this "debunking" by a non-scientist received extensive coverage. Lawrie's peer-reviewed article also was taken down from her LinkedIn account. "You have these social media sites removing scientific information done by people who are experts in their field," Lawrie said. "Why are social media companies so intent on suppressing scientists

In Discussion With..

Tess Lawrie MBBCh, PhD

Director, The Evidence-based Medicine Consultancy Ltd

Ivermectin meta-analysis - the findings (2/3)

trying to communicate info about new medicines?"

While Lawrie said she would take ivermectin herself and "give it to her children," she could not say the same for the vaccines. "I was very concerned when I heard in the middle of last year that they had a vaccine at the ready because there's just no way a vaccine could be developed so quickly," she said.

She questioned the mRNA mechanism for producing the spike protein — "when does it stop?" — and cited the lack of published results of animal trials of the vaccines.

"You don't need experimental vaccines if you have safe and effective treatments," she said, advocating instead for more research to repurpose "old medicines" for "new diseases."

Lawrie also urged people to "stop outsourcing your healthcare to the government," citing several things everyone could do to protect themselves against COVID, including decreasing the use of sugar and alcohol, getting plenty of vitamin C, vitamin D and zinc and using ivermectin.

“ You don’t need experimental vaccines if you have safe and effective treatments ”

Most Underreported Story of Last Year? The ‘Crushing Impact’ of COVID Policies on Kids.

In a roundtable discussion on "Face the Nation, CBS News correspondent Jan Crawford, said children, who have almost no risk of dying from COVID, have "sacrificed and suffered the most" from restrictive COVID measures.

The most underreported story of 2021 was the "crushing impact" of COVID policies on kids, according to CBS News chief legal correspondent Jan Crawford.

During a Dec. 26, 2021 appearance on "Face the Nation," Crawford slammed COVID policies, blaming them for a number of problems affecting children today, including the ongoing mental health crisis, increased suicide rates and learning disruptions due to school closures.

Crawford said the risk of suicide attempts by girls is up 51%, while "black kids are twice as likely as white kids to die by suicide."

"School closures, lockdowns, cancellation of sports — you couldn't even go on a playground in the D.C. area without cops scurrying, shooing the kids off [and it had a] tremendous negative impact on kids, and it's been an afterthought," Crawford said. Crawford said healthy teenagers have "a one-in-a-million chance" of catching and dying of COVID, noting they have a greater chance of dying in a car accident.

"But they have suffered and sacrificed the most, especially kids and underrepresented at-risk communities," Crawford said. "And now we have the Surgeon General saying there's a mental health crisis among our kids."

"They will be paying for our generation's decisions the rest of their lives":

If our policies don't reflect a more measured and reasonable approach for our children, they will be paying for our generation's decisions, the rest of their lives," Crawford said. "And that, to me, is the greatest underreported story of the past year."

Crawford's comments come shortly after U.S. Surgeon General Vivek Murthy in early December issued a 53-page advisory on the youth mental health crisis saying the COVID pandemic "dramatically altered young peoples' experiences at home, at school, and in the community."

Murthy wrote: "The pandemic era's unfathomable number of deaths, pervasive sense of fear, economic instability and forced physical distancing from loved ones, friends and communities have exacerbated the unprecedented stresses young people already faced. It would be a tragedy if we beat back one public health crisis only to allow another to grow in its place."



“Rise up to the oath
that you've taken ...
and take a stance.”

— Dr. Michelle Perro

Dr. Michelle Perro to Fellow Pediatricians: ‘Rise Up, Take a Stance’ Against COVID Vaccines for Kids

In The Defender’s “Leading the Charge for Change” interview series, Dr. Michelle Perro explains why she advises parents not to give their kids the COVID vaccine and encourages other physicians to speak out against the vaccines.

Dr. Michelle Perro, a pediatric emergency doctor, has some COVID-19 vaccine advice for parents: “Don’t do it.”

She also has some strong words for fellow physicians: Have the courage to speak out on behalf of the vulnerable.

In an interview with The Defender, Perro said in her experience treating children with COVID, the disease has been mild.

She said: “In a practice where I work, out of six practitioners, not one of us has seen an extremely sick kid with COVID. Some children have had fevers and body aches, although most have super mild symptoms. We have seen asymptomatic kids and others having mild flu-like illness — at the worst.”

She said the disease is not dangerous in children, often lasting only one or two days.

“It’s in the literature that this is a mild illness in young people,” Perro said. “And the rate of morbidity and mortality is next to zero — a more benign course than the flu.”

For children she has treated with COVID, Perro said she supports their “naturally vigorous immune system,” emphasizing the importance of eating nutrient-dense organic foods along with supplements including vitamins C and D, zinc and quercetin.

in discouraging parents.

“It’s experimental and the potential effects may be irreversible,” Perro said. “We have no idea what it does to your kids’ DNA. Don’t do it — I just said that to some parents this week.”

When her practice, which offers the vaccine, asked her to create a protocol for parents who want to vaccinate their kids, she was reluctant “because they just shouldn’t do it.”

Perro has heard from parents who regret having their children vaccinated. “I get emails every day with parents having vaccine remorse,” Perro said. “These are not just about kids who are having side effects, but parents who don’t feel right about what they’ve done. And it’s a challenging and new territory when trying to help them — how do I reverse the effects of an mRNA jab?”

For children she sees who are suffering from COVID vaccine injuries, her observations are striking.

“Clinically, we’re seeing mild to severe reactions from this inoculation, but what is mindblowing for me

are the cases with adverse outcomes reported in the [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System] data — it’s horrific,” she said. “If you pair that with the injured kids in the clinic, with adverse reactions to the inoculation, that should be enough to say stop, reevaluate, reverse direction.”

Perro said she is particularly concerned about the severe vaccine injuries children are experiencing.

“When I looked at the initial Moderna study, 72% of their vaccine recipients had a reaction that they had graded as mild, moderate or severe,” Perro said. “The most damaging were cardiac and neurological. Now, fast forward to what’s happening to children. What am I mostly seeing? Cardiac and neurological symptoms, just like in the study,” she said

The increase in myocarditis is a historical anomaly, Perro said.

“Depending on how sick they are, I also use a range of nutraceutical and homeopathic remedies to also help clear the virus from the mouth and the nasopharynx,” she said.

When COVID vaccines were made available for children, Perro initially gave cautious advice, but she’s since become more adamant

“As an ER doctor, a pediatric emergency physician, it was so rare pre-COVID inoculations to ever see a kid with myocarditis. In my career of 40 years with acute care medicine — working in big centers in East Harlem, Bellevue and Oakland Children’s Hospital — maybe I’ve seen one or two cases of pediatric myocarditis. That’s two children in more than four decades — and their condition was the result of an infection.”

With increasing cases of vaccine-induced heart problems in children, emergency defibrillators are being placed in schools. “They’re preparing for a wave of myocarditis symptoms and the potential for cardiac complications,” Perro told The Defender.

When asked about those risks, she described how inflammation of the outer envelope of the heart, the pericardium, and heart cells generally can cause anything from chest pain to arrhythmias and tachycardia. She has seen children just after COVID vaccination with increased heart rate and chest pain.

“These myocardial cells, once they’ve been injured, can’t regenerate, the scars remain,” she said. “And these scars in their heart tissue can precipitate arrhythmias in the future since the electrical impulses have reduced conductivity — it’s a devastating disease.”

Neurological effects of the COVID vaccine on children present further risks of an unknown outcome.

“We have no idea of the long-term effects of the spike protein in small children,” Perro said. “What is concerning is increasing the burden of neurologic disease on an already neurologically compromised population.”

Pointing out that 1 in 33 kids are now diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder, Perro said one of the features of this disorder is brain inflammation with activation of their microglia — a critical component of immune response in the central nervous system.

“The spike protein acts like a bioweapon — a toxic material that can cross the blood-brain barrier,” Perro said. “There’s no way we can tell parents what’s going to develop in their kids’ brains when that happens.”

Pediatricians must ‘rise up’ to the oath they’ve taken

With data showing children have an extremely low risk of complications from the COVID virus, and her own clinical experience, Perro openly discusses what pediatricians see, but rarely speak about.

“Young children are at no great risk and do not give COVID to adults,” she said. “So the idea that we inoculate children to stop spreading the disease in adults is wrong and immoral. And it’s well-known these inoculations do nothing to stop transmission.”

Perro is not afraid to speak out about the failure of the vaccines. She said: “We have people getting their third and fourth boosters, and variants are still ripping through communities. Mostly, those who are transmitting the virus are the vaccinated. Although this is verifiable and quite shocking, most doctors are rolling along with it. There is pressure from all areas, including medical boards to conform. Here in California, doctors are living in a climate of fear from retribution if they voice their concerns.

“It is not just a moral obligation. It’s about our oath as physicians. More pediatricians need to speak out.”

Would you like more of
‘the other side of the story?’

Visit druthers.net and subscribe to the DRUTHER’s Monthly Newspaper

See StrongAndFreeCanada.org for tools, tips, flyers, videos and more

ChildrensHealthDefense.org is home to Robert Kennedy, Jr
(see ad on page 10 to order his new book on Anthony Fauci)

Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms is at jccf.ca
(see ad on page 10 for this group of lawyers standing for freedom)

JUST SAY NO TO A GREAT RESET BACK TO FEUDAL TIMES

Building Immunity Against Propaganda - Pt 2

A lie travels round the world while truth is putting on her boots - generally attributed to Winston Churchill but actually written by minister Charles Haddon Spurgeon (d. 1892)

Researcher William Bartley offers a checklist of four criteria for assaying given viewpoints. They are: using basic logic to check for inconsistencies in the theory; checking personal experiences against the theory; checking one’s own biased logic and sense observation by seeing if the theory contradicts any generally accepted scientific hypotheses; and most importantly, determining if the problem the theory is supposed to deal with is being successfully solved. The last one is particularly useful for judging extremely complex situations.

In a lying structure such as today, more is needed. Machiavelli described the challenges with “If indeed sometimes I do happen to tell the truth, I hide it among so many lies that it is hard to find.” The unconventional, and possibly Sufi influenced, Rabbi Nahman of Breslov provided a bedrock foundation for truth some centuries ago. He divined “falsehood imitates truth and it seems impossible to know which is which. What, therefore, is the difference between the upholders of truth and the champions of falsehood? This is the unfailing sign: people of truth are especially dedicated to the task of redeeming captives. They hate slavery. This is the test by which you can tell the difference” It’s not difficult to see who is on the side of slavery in this upcoming age change.

Philosophy is a very important component in the search for truth, since science and math alone can not even prove it is wrong to needlessly inflict pain. Nahman’s advice on truth and slavery is related to an information source that has been much maligned by those who consider science to be the final arbiter of truth. Listening to the heart, as well as the head, is a very valuable verification procedure. Nature has bestowed humans with a conscience which constitutes a rich lode of subconscious knowledge. While the conscious mind can only handle 5 to 9 variables at one time, the power of the subconscious is magnitudes greater. A caveat is that cultural institutions can detract from, as well as add to, a person’s innate sense of right and wrong.

Hemispheric brain activity can also be used to illustrate how conscious and subconscious information can be transformed into knowledge. The left

side of the human brain has been identified as processing hard scientific facts and is linked closely to individualism and objectivity. The right side of the brain deals better with abstractions, artistry and tends toward communal reflections and subjectivity.

An overactive right side generates irrational creative urges while an isolated left side results in a cold calculating rationality that rejects the power of the subconscious. Both biases invariably lead to short term thinking. The two sides of the brain function best when they are used as a symbiotic, cooperative mechanism. Bilateralism is a primary pattern found throughout nature and humanity’s thinking ability also rests on this excellent design principle. Like two legs walking, both oars in the water or two eyes seeing depth and perspective, the artistic and scientific sides of the brain work best when balanced.

When accident victims lose the capability to transmit information between the right and left brain, the extreme effects of unbalanced brain hemispheres become obvious. Experiments showed a handicapped subject’s thoughts and actions were completely out of touch with the reality of the diagrams that were displayed to one eye only. The left hand literally did not know what the right hand was doing.

A caveat here is that hemispheric brain activity, like conscious and subconscious thought, is a highly simplified analysis of what actually occurs. Like everything, each somewhat arbitrary division can be studied to help understand the phenomena, but the thing itself can only be understood by seeing or intuiting how it interacts with all the other phenomena that make up the various larger wholes.

While no advice can entirely eliminate misconceptions, a person’s susceptibility to lies and misinformation can be greatly reduced by following Bartley’s checklist, Nahman’s rule of truth and allowing for what has been termed ‘gut feelings’. It is also important to remember that recently acquired information is overemphasized by the brain and can skew probabilities if a person is unaware of this when mulling over conflicting information. With practice, information from untrusted sources can be quickly and automatically screened through a personalized truth filter, that in the final analysis rests heavily on intuitive morality.



NOW...10 Months Scientific Evidence For Chesterfield

A MEDICAL SPECIALIST is making regular bi-monthly examinations of a group of people from various walks of life. 45 percent of this group have smoked Chesterfield for an average of over ten years.

After ten months, the medical specialist reports that he observed...

no adverse effects on the nose, throat and sinuses of the group from smoking Chesterfield.

MUCH Milder

CHESTERFIELD IS BEST FOR YOU

First and Only Premium Quality Cigarette in Both Regular and King-Size



CONTAINS TOBACCOS OF BETTER QUALITY AND HIGHER PRICE THAN ANY OTHER KING-SIZE CIGARETTE

The illusion of evidence based medicine - BMJ

Evidence based medicine has been corrupted by corporate interests, failed regulation, and commercialisation of academia, argue these authors in a peer reviewed paper.

Editor’s Note: The British Medical Journal (BMJ) is a prestigious publication founded in 1840.

Peer Reviewed Paper - Excerpt - The corporate university compromises the concept of academic leadership. Deans who reached their leadership positions by virtue of distinguished contributions to their disciplines have in places been replaced with fundraisers and academic managers, who are forced to demonstrate their profitability or show how they can attract corporate sponsors. In medicine, those who succeed in academia are likely to be key opinion leaders (KOLs in marketing parlance). KOLs are sought out by industry for this influence and for the prestige that their university affiliation brings to the branding of the company’s products. As well paid members of pharmaceutical advisory boards and speakers’ bureaus, KOLs present results of industry trials at medical conferences and in continuing medical education. Instead of acting as independent, disinterested scientists and critically evaluating a drug’s performance, they become what marketing executives refer to as “product champions.”

Ironically, industry sponsored KOLs appear to enjoy many of the advantages of academic freedom, supported as they are by their universities, the industry, and journal editors for expressing their views, even when those views are incongruent with the real evidence. While universities fail to correct misrepresentations of the science from such collaborations, critics of industry face rejections from journals, legal threats, and the potential destruction of their careers. The preservation of institutions designed to further scientific objectivity and impartiality (i.e., public laboratories, independent scientific periodicals and congresses) is entirely at the mercy of political and commercial power; vested interest will always override the rationality of evidence.

Our proposals for reforms include: liberation of regulators from drug company funding; taxation imposed on pharmaceutical companies to allow public funding of independent trials; and, perhaps most importantly, anonymised individual patient level trial data posted, along with study protocols, on suitably accessible websites so that third parties could rigorously evaluate the methodology and trial results.

S & S

MUFFLER SHOP



WE'RE NOT THE BIGGEST, WE'RE THE BEST!

KEN SIMON - 780.778.4224

5003 47 AVE - WHITECOURT

(BEHIND CANADIAN TIRE)

Worth Repeating

“None are so hopelessly enslaved, as those who falsely believe they are free. The truth has been kept from the depth of their minds by masters who rule them with lies. They feed them on falsehoods till wrong looks like right in their eyes.”

— Johann Wolfgang von Goethe

(Continued from page 1)

within many of our human cultures in the preceding years (as I explored in my book ‘Healing the Wounded Mind’ 2019). Prof. Desmet suggests that these findings indicated that ‘society was heading for a tipping point where a psychological “reorganization” of the social system was imperative.’ In other words, we were, broadly speaking, ripe for a tipping into a new direction one way or another. And the direction we were to be ‘tipped into’ would depend upon the nature of the trigger, how it would be applied, and its related features.

There was already a strong latent apprehension, nervousness and anxiety in many human populations before the ‘health crisis’ landed upon our shores. The reactions of the political establishments, the media, and related organizations that had a vested interest in steering the situation, aroused further fear and panic amongst the people rather than reassuring them (as would have been their role). When a situation is turned into a crisis, it is then only a small further step to turn a crisis into a trauma. When the trauma is related to an expanded event – such as on a global level – then the very nature of that trauma is no longer an isolated experience but a continual process. Furthermore, a continual traumatic process only needs nudges placed at varying intervals to maintain, and sustain, the traumatic experience. The danger in this is that such an experience can be prolonged almost indefinitely if the nudges continue to be applied. In such psychological states, it becomes very hard for a person to maintain, and act from, their sovereign self for they have become increasingly externalized and pulled into (or entangled in) a shared traumatic experience.

Another issue to consider is that of transference of suffering and blame. Prof. Desmet points out, and he is not alone in this, that there already existed in our societies a ‘widespread psychological suffering, lack of meaning, and diminished social ties.’ When the health crisis got expanded into a structure of biosecurity, many people transferred their existent anxieties and blame onto the dominant health narratives. Fear and discomfort were no longer a result of how modern societies have been structured, along with their attendant political and economic power systems, but rather is now due to a health issue. In this transference of blame, many people naturally wanted to eradicate this source of their suffering and so were ready to point their fingers in the direction where the dominant narratives told them. ‘Help us get rid of this health menace’ they cried out and fell into line obediently. It pains me to point this out, but such tactics of ‘transference of blame’ were used to devastating effect by National Socialism in



the first half of the twentieth century. And we now know where that led to.

Authoritarian policies applied from the top down appear to bring some form of social solidarity to the situation – yet this is a fallacy. I have referred to this as the normalization of delusion. On the contrary, I would state that there is a great deal of ‘deliberate fracturing’ going on in our societies. Part of this is due to a narrowing of peoples’ focus onto a small fragment of the situation at the expense of perceiving the bigger picture. This orchestrated narrowing of focus breaks up overall awareness into fractals – into ‘reality bubbles.’ Since the narrowed focus is directed, by the mainstream media narratives, onto the ‘let us get well again’ scenario, then people are persuaded into accepting a loss of freedoms and liberty in order to be seemingly granted this. The narrative of ‘optimizing public health’ comes at a cost of increased loss of personal privacy and sovereignty. It is no longer a

health issue but rather one of social management. Yet any questioning of this is immediately turned into a questioning of public health. Naturally, any sensible person wishes for public health and well-being, which is why any critical questioning gets censored as a rejection of health that is harder to defend amongst the media-programmed masses. People are turned against one another, and social alliances break down. This, as I have said, is part of a strategy of ‘deliberate fracturing.’ Prof. Desmet makes a valid point when he states that: ‘people cannot be healthy, either physically or mentally, without sufficient freedom, privacy and the right to


self-determination.’ What this amounts to, says Prof. Desmet, is not a health crisis but a social and cultural crisis. I would add to this that it amounts to a human spiritual crisis too. Taken all together, we are in the midst of a profound turning point for humankind. The core question now is in which direction will humanity take from here on?

What we need, as individuals as well as collectively, is a degree of perception and awareness to see and understand that relations between things on the surface are not the same as the realities that lie underneath. Surface fragmentations are a construct that have been established to break certain social cohesions. This is because a lack of social cohesion will inevitably strengthen the hand of the authorities. For this reason, great pressure has been applied onto censorship in the media. Solidarity groupings that question the main narratives are either ignored or blatantly censored and suppressed. Gatherings of people who come together in cohesion and shared alliances against the main narratives will not be seen in the mainstream media. Rather, the narrow focus will be maintained upon the narratives of anxiety and division. This is because it is ‘these fracturing, surface relations that will draw you out from yourselves, into your programmed-social selves, and away from the underlying cohesions beneath...

The strategy here is to gain power over people by dividing their cohesion and splintering the energies of solidarity and togetherness. As writer Kaleb Seth Perl puts it: ‘This is a heist against innate human sovereignty.’ And I would agree with this. Our awareness is being taken away from those things that bring us together and onto those elements that splinter us apart. And this is an affront upon the human sovereign self. Kaleb Seth Perl goes on to say that: ‘All kinds of things are being falsely presented to you now to deflect you from the knowledge of the situation. You are now living in the age when human beings must take affairs back into their own hands.’ In other words, it is time to own your sovereignty. And as an initial step in this process, we can disengage with the distractions, dissonance, and noise and turn the gaze inward to focus on our sovereign Self. We should not allow the mainstream narratives to entangle us in our social personas, naïvely being pulled along by divisive media tales and propaganda. We should take our steps from a place of grounded balance. We need to view the situation – the bigger picture – from a perspective that transcends the controlled narratives.

As the opening quote from Kaleb Seth Perl says, we can be ‘the quiet within the noise.’ And from this place of centeredness, we can be connected to our own source of energy, wholeness, and resonant balance. It is only from such a place can we then make the right decisions about our life and the future we wish for us and for all.

“We should *not allow* the mainstream narratives to entangle us in our social personas, naïvely being pulled along by divisive media tales and propaganda.”



Turn off the
legacy media

Turn on your
sovereign self.

kingsleydennis.com/the-struggle-for-your-mind

Professor Ehud Qimron: “Ministry of Health, it’s time to admit failure”



Professor Ehud Qimron, head of the Department of Microbiology and Immunology at Tel Aviv University and one of the leading Israeli immunologists, has written an open letter sharply criticizing the Israeli – and indeed global – management of the coronavirus pandemic.

Original letter in Hebrew: N12 News (January 6, 2022); translated by Google/SPR. See also: Professor Qimron’s prediction from August 2020: “History will judge the hysteria” (INN).

Ministry of Health, it’s time to admit failure

In the end, the truth will always be revealed, and the truth about the coronavirus policy is beginning to be revealed. When the destructive concepts collapse one by one, there is nothing left but to tell the experts who led the management of the pandemic – we told you so.

Two years late, you finally realize that a respiratory virus cannot be defeated and that any such attempt is doomed to fail. You do not admit it, because you have admitted almost no mistake in the last two years, but in retrospect it is clear that you have failed miserably in almost all of your actions, and even the media is already having a hard time covering your shame.

You refused to admit that the infection comes in waves that fade by themselves, despite years of observations and scientific knowledge. You insisted on attributing every decline of a wave solely to your actions, and so through false propaganda “you overcame the plague.” And again you de-

feated it, and again and again and again. You refused to admit that mass testing is ineffective, despite your own contingency plans explicitly stating so (“Pandemic Influenza Health System Preparedness Plan, 2007”, p. 26).

You refused to admit that recovery is more protective than a vaccine, despite previous knowledge and observations showing that non-recovered vaccinated people are more likely to be infected than recovered people. You refused to admit that the vaccinated are contagious despite the observations. Based on this, you hoped to achieve herd immunity by vaccination – and you failed in that as well.

You insisted on ignoring the fact that the disease is dozens of times more dangerous for risk groups and older adults, than for young people who are not in risk groups, despite the knowledge that came from China as early as 2020.

You refused to adopt the “Barrington Declaration”, signed by more than 60,000 scientists and medical professionals, or other common sense programs. You chose to ridicule, slander, distort and discredit them. Instead of the right programs and people, you have chosen professionals who lack relevant training for pandemic management (physicists as chief government advisers, veterinarians, security officers, media personnel, and so on).

You have not set up an effective system for reporting side effects from the vaccines, and reports on side effects have even been deleted from your Facebook page.

Doctors avoid linking side effects to the vaccine, lest you persecute them as you did with some of their colleagues.

You have ignored many reports of changes in menstrual intensity and menstrual cycle times. You hid data that allows for objective and proper research (for example, you removed the data on

passengers at Ben Gurion Airport). Instead, you chose to publish non-objective articles together with senior Pfizer executives on the effectiveness and safety of vaccines.

Damage to trust

However, from the heights of your hubris, you have also ignored the fact that in the end the truth will be revealed.

And it begins to be revealed. The truth is that you have brought the public’s trust in you to an unprecedented low, and you have eroded your status as a source of authority. The truth is that you have burned hundreds of billions of shekels to no avail – for publishing intimidation, for ineffective tests, for destructive lockdowns and for disrupting the routine of life in the last two years.

You have destroyed the education of our children and their future. You made children feel guilty, scared, smoke, drink, get addicted, drop out, and quarrel, as school principals around the country attest. You have harmed livelihoods, the economy, human rights, mental health and physical health.

You slandered colleagues who did not surrender to you, you turned the people against each other, divided society and polarized the discourse. You branded, without any scientific basis, people who chose not to get vaccinated as enemies of the public and as spreaders of disease. You promote, in an unprecedented way, a draconian policy of discrimination, denial of rights and selection of people, including children, for their medical choice. A selection that lacks any epidemiological justification.

When you compare the destructive policies you are pursuing with the sane policies of some other countries – you can clearly see that the destruction you have caused has only added victims beyond the vulnerable to the virus.

The economy you ruined, the unemployed you caused, and the children whose education you destroyed – they are the surplus victims as a result of your own actions only.

There is currently no medical emergency, but you have been cultivating such a condition for two years now because of lust for power, budgets and control.

The only emergency now is that you still set policies and hold huge budgets for propaganda and psychological engineering instead of directing them to strengthen the health care system.

This emergency must stop!

- Printing ▪ Copying
- Graphic & Logo Design
- Business Cards
- Tickets ▪ Invoices
- Business Forms
- Mailers ▪ Brochures

Custom Business Launch Packages Available

Business opportunity with OZ for a self starting creative person.

— design@ozmedia.ca — 780-778-3949 —

Betcher’s Contracting
Alex Betcher - Owner/Operator
Skidsteer Services
Call: 780-728-7249

- ♦ Landscaping & Dirt Work
- ♦ Brush Clean Ups
- ♦ Fencing & Screw Pilings
- ♦ Sand, Gravel & Snow Removal

The Real Anthony Fauci - and a losing battle by the legacy press to discredit it.

By Tony Lyons - attorney and publisher

The New York Post is trying desperately to make sure the American public doesn't read "The Real Anthony Fauci: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health," Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.'s epic takedown of Dr. Anthony Fauci.

Keith Kelly, likely the most powerful staff writer at the Post over the past 25 years, has slammed Kennedy's new book.

Kelly has called Kennedy "a science-denying anti-vaxxer." He admits, predictably, that he hasn't read "The Real Anthony Fauci," but adds that "from what I read, a lot of what he says in the book has already been debunked."

One has to wonder where he read that. Maybe in an email from Fauci?

Is Kelly aware the book makes serious and well-documented allegations of corruption against Fauci? Does he know the book has 2,194 meticulously researched citations?

Does Kelly know "The Real Anthony Fauci" contains blurbs from doctors, scientists and lawyers — even a Nobel Prize winner? Or is Kelly just going after Kennedy for other reasons?

Maureen Callahan, another long-time staff reporter at the Post, also has attacked Kennedy, relentlessly, for more than a decade. She called him "the dumbest Kennedy" and said his lack of education is "jaw-dropping."

In fact, Kennedy has been a law professor at Pace University for decades and has brought more than 500 lawsuits against corporations that were either polluting the environment or selling products that harmed public health. He has dedicated his life to fighting corruption and greed.

Callahan has spent most of her career as a fashion and gossip columnist.

When Callahan wrote about "The Real Anthony Fauci," she failed to mention a single detail about the contents of the book. That's because, like Kelly, she likely hasn't read it.

“Goebbels wrote that ‘A lie told once remains a lie, but a lie told a thousand times becomes the truth.’ Tragically for humanity, there are many, many untruths emanating from Fauci and his minions. RFK Jr exposes the decades of lies.”
—Luc Montagnier, virologist, Nobel Prize winner.

Nevertheless, she felt justified in spewing out a laundry list of misinformation about it. She claimed, for example, the book was published by Simon & Schuster, when in fact it was published by Skyhorse Publishing.

She wrote that the book had no reviews on Amazon and asked rhetorically, "What does that tell you?" In fact, "The Real Anthony Fauci" has almost 15,000 (and counting) Amazon reviews, 91% of which are five-star.


Finally, the most recent article in the Post, written by investigative reporter Isabel Vincent, is titled: "Robert F. Kennedy Jr. Is Making Millions Off His Anti-Vax Crusade."

In an email to Vincent Feb. 1, Skyhorse Publishing confirmed Kennedy donated all income from his book to charity.

This disinformation is from one newspaper. The New York Times and other print media similarly attacked it. They would have preferred to ignore it entirely, but it was selling too many copies.

Thankfully, attacking authors and boycotting their books doesn't work. Despite the total media blackout, boycotts by major bookstores, no reviews in leading newspapers, censorship in sales reporting and from bestseller lists, and ad hominem attacks by reporters who write about books they haven't read, "The Real Anthony Fauci" has outsold any other book in America over the past three months.

That is the beauty of democracy. People refuse to be told what to do, what to think or what to read.



Legacy book reviewers, you've been replaced.

As a trial lawyer, Robert F. Kennedy Jr. has taken on the world's most powerful corporations and held them accountable for harming people and the environment. Those companies denied any wrongdoing — but time and again, judges and juries were persuaded that Kennedy's position was the right one. Kennedy's information should always be considered, and agree or disagree, we all learn from listening."

—Tony Robbins, Bestselling author

"If you have any interest in doing a deep dive into the more than 100-year history of what led up to the COVID-19 pandemic, then *The Real Anthony Fauci* is an absolute must-read. In addition to exposing Fauci, the book reveals the complex web of connections between Gates and Big Pharma and many of the most important players that were responsible for seeking to implement global tyranny and profit enormously from the propaganda behind the COVID injections, masks, and lockdowns."

—Dr. Joseph Mercola, founder of Mercola.com

"RFK Jr.'s story of Fauci's failure as the government's AIDS coordinator is a highly disturbing prologue to his COVID mandate as head of NIAID. So, who is Dr. Fauci in the end? Has American medicine truly become a 'racket,' as corrupt as a mafia organization? Does everything in our country turn on the size of the money involved? How can we begin to solve this? *The Real Anthony Fauci* is a fascinating starting point. RFK Jr. has written a strong, strong book."

—Oliver Stone, award-winning director, producer, and screenwriter

BE BRAVE, DO SOMETHING
"The world is a dangerous place, not because of those who do evil, but because of those who look on and do nothing." - Albert Einstein

"Whitecourt's most happening place"

Valley Centre Mall
780-778-1900

Dine in, Takeout, Delivery

Wing Wednesdays
(21 Delicious Flavours to choose from)

Shrimp Saturdays

Daily specials

DARTS - POOL - VLTS

TOTALLY NUTS MECHANICAL

ALL YOUR ATV & SLED PARTS AND SERVICE!

ACCOUNTING SERVICES AVAILABLE

780-706-6186
totallynutsmech@gmail.com

The rationale for Alberta independence

Editor: Futurists such as Alvin Toffler remarked on how some nation states would break up as a new age came into being. Due to the unjust framework of Canadian confederation, the province of Alberta is a prime candidate for this development.

by Dennis Modry

1. What do you know about your inalienable rights and the perpetual federal suppression and pillaging of Albertans?

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all people are created equal in the eyes of their creator, that they are endowed by natural law with certain inalienable individual rights, among which are life, liberty, property (starting with one's own), and the pursuit of happiness. We hold that government is instituted through a social contract with the citizens that create it and who lend it their authority to secure those rights. That, whenever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends,

it is the right and duty of the people to alter or to abolish it, to institute new government, laying its foundation on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to secure their individual life, liberty, property, and happiness.

We clearly recognize that governments long established should not be changed for light and temporary causes; and, accordingly, all experience has shown that people are more prone to suffering and depravation by tolerating the status quo, rather than righting themselves by abolishing the government to which they are accustomed.

But, when a long train of abuses, hindrances, and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same object, reveals a design to reduce them under despotism by majority rule, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such government, and to provide new guards for their future security. Such has been the patient sufferance of this 'Alberta province, treated as a colony'; which now compels us to alter our current system of government.

The political history of Alberta since its inception Sept 1, 1905, has been as a colony to serve the interests of Quebec and Ontario; as evidenced by Clifford Sifton, the Minister of the Interior of the Liberal Government of Sir Wil-

“ It is self-evident that democracy fails when there is tyranny of the majority over the minority, such that Alberta has endured unaddressed by Ottawa. ”



APP at Drayton Valley

fred Laurier, who was responsible for bringing Alberta into confederation. He stated in 1904... “We desire, and all Canadian Patriots (referring only to easterners) desire that the great trade (wealth) of the prairies shall go to enrich our people in the east, to build up our factories and our places of work, and in every legitimate way contribute to our (the east) prosperity” (to the perpetual detriment of Albertans). And that is exactly what has happened ever since Alberta became a part of Canada.

The history of the present confederation is that Alberta continues to be underrepresented in the
(Continued on page 11)



APP at Peers

A Sovereign Constitutional Republic of Alberta

Our mission - To engage, inform, educate, inspire, and unite Albertans, Businesses and Organizations on the rationale and merits of an Independent Alberta, how we will make it happen; how we will assuage the concerns of those about Alberta Independence and, most importantly, heal those who have been so aggrieved mentally, emotionally, and economically by the actions of uncaring federal and provincial elected officials.



We offer this message of hope for Freedom and Prosperity through Independence. We need Albertans to make this their story, their time to heal, and courageously seize what may be their last opportunity to actually embrace and chart a new path forward towards a brilliant future.

The road to freedom and prosperity is through independence.

Not politics as Usual. AlbertaProsperityProject.com for detailed policies

(Continued from page 10)

House of Commons and the Senate, and has suffered a history of repeated interference, usurpations, and unfair treatment, all having in direct object the establishment of tyranny of unconstrained majority rule by the east without upholding the rights of the minority and this province. It is self-evident that democracy fails when there is tyranny of the majority over the minority, such that Alberta has endured unaddressed by Ottawa.

That when such government deems itself beyond the reach of its own laws and dictates, or when it imposes burdens upon this province while refraining in the application of those burdens upon the confederation as a whole, it is tyrannical and unjust. We recognize that failure to address this imbalance since Alberta's inception as a province has produced cause sufficient for the people of Alberta to take the measures necessary to secure their liberty and independence free of the system that has so onerously prevented them from achieving these ends.

Alberta's history reveals that no Federal Government has ever protected Alberta's interests, freedoms, and rights, or secured its future prosperity. Rather, Ottawa has always suppressed the aspirations, self-determination, and wealth of Alberta, while at the same time enriching the east through egregious federal taxation of Alberta.

Alberta's history also reveals that no Provincial Government has ever been able to protect Alberta's interests or secure its future prosperity due to the lack of courage and political leverage to compel change beneficial to Alberta.

The impossibility of protecting and improving Alberta's circumstances in confederation is evidenced by progressive usurpations of Alberta's Constitutional authority through legislative overreach, the unfeasibility to ever change the Constitution due to the five impossible hurdles, the dismissive attitude of Ottawa to multiple overtures from successive Provincial Governments to fairly address Alberta's concerns, and the intended federal plans to cripple Alberta's interests and future prosperity forever by ending our energy industry.

Alberta is on a downhill spiral that will be exacerbated by shuttering our oil and gas industry and may result in a one-third reduction in the average income of Albertans, as determined by Jack Mintz, the Chair of Public Policy at the University of Calgary, (National Post, November 11, 2021).

**Some points from
the full document at
AlbertaProsperityProject.com**

3. The prizes for separation are numerous and spectacular:

3.1. Consider Switzerland which lacks Alberta's massive resource and agricultural wealth. Yet it's per-capital GNP is US\$83k or 60% more than Alberta's per-capita GDP of US\$52k.

3.2. At present we suffer \$60 billion of confiscatory federal taxation yearly of which we get back only \$27 billion. Think of the benefits that Albertans will experience with the retention of our own wealth for our own use. Think of the tax cuts or enhanced healthcare, education, or government services that would have occurred had Alberta retained the \$700 billion already given, and the benefits that will occur with even greater economic productivity and investment in the future as a Sovereign Nation.

3.3. When Premier Klein stepped down in 2006, Alberta had \$36 billion in cash and hard assets, no debt, a triple A bond rating, and was the number two GDP per capita region in the world with a booming economy and record low unemployment.

3.4. The retention of all \$60 billion in federal taxation would:

- Rapidly retire our current debt.
- Significantly increase pensions.
- Meaningfully reduce taxation of individuals and businesses.
- Dramatically lift all Albertans.
- Generate massive investment in Alberta.

5.3. Drafting a tax code where lower income Albertans benefit from a high minimum threshold for taxation, e.g., \$50,000, and where an income tax return could be completed on one page with progressive taxation flattened to between 10% - 15%.

5.4. Killing the GST, capital gains, fuel, tourism, and carbon taxes which will improve the quality of life and standard of living of every Albertan.

5.5. Taking control of all federal programs that adversely impact Alberta including but not limited to policing, pension funding, immigration, employment insurance and energy and environmental regulations.

5.6. Implementing a made in Alberta health care system to improve access, quality, and cost of healthcare to ensure that Alberta ranks in the top-ten percentile of all countries.

5.7. Eliminating the march towards unaccountable totalitarians, with their wealth-sapping, ineffective foreign funding and virtue-signaling.

5.8. Fixing democracy, starting with the establishment of our own Constitution as foundational to our new Sovereign Constitutional Republic with three elected branches of government.

5.9. Mandating Elections to be held on a certain day, rather than being opportunistically "called" by the government in power.

5.10. Electing the leader of the Alberta nation as an individual, rather than being chosen by the party in power.

5.11. Electing Judges rather than having them appointed, thus giving power back to the people.

5.12. Ensuring that Albertans would have control at all times:

- A. over all elected officials through recall,
- B. over governance via citizen initiatives,
- C. via referendum on any spending bill that would increase taxes.

Alberta's national leadership would be self-determined, standing up for Albertans.

**See
AlbertaProsperityProject.com
to download a full copy of
The Rationale for Alberta
Independence.**

Alberta needs autonomy to end colonial status

Although separation is the only way forward to Albertans casting off the chains of colonialism.

To change the Constitution so that the people of Alberta have truly representative government to steward their resources and freedom would require:

- A majority vote in both the House of Commons
- A majority vote in the Senate
- Seven of 10 Provincial Legislatures representing 50% of the population voting in favor of changing the constitution
- Quebec believes that it has a veto
- The Supreme Court is controlled by Quebec and Ontario

There were two previous attempts to change the Constitution, and both failed: the 1987 Meech Lake Accord, which would have strengthened provincial powers and the 1992 Charlottetown Accord which included more control over natural resources for provinces.

There have been other efforts to bring fairness to the Canadian political system, including the push for an elected, equal, effective Senate. It is past time to admit that Alberta sovereignty is the only way forward for Albertans to gain their freedom.

An Independent Alberta Would Have:

- More area than 79% of all nations.
- A population larger than 33% of all nations.
- More farmland than 91% of all nations.
- A higher GDP per Capita than 97% of all nations, and would eventually become #1.
- Third largest oil reserve in the world, possibly #1 if fully mapped.
- Enough energy to fuel North America for the next 1000 years.



Sangster
www.sangstersafety.com
SAFETY LTD.
780-706-2046
**Wellsite, Gasplant,
Pipeline Supervision
& Medic Services**
info@sangstersafety.com
Fax: 780-778-2297

The Big Picture - Part 2

Post-Machiavellian Society

Journey into the Heart of Lightness

The story so far

Part 1 of *Post-Machiavellian Society* included the history of humanity’s progress through the hunter-gathering age, agricultural age, industrial age and digital age, to now stand at the threshold of a dawning knowledge age. The opposition to progress, epitomized by the World Economic Forum (WEF) also builds on the age theme, but uses the bureaucratic terms of first, second, third and fourth industrial ages. Machiavellianism, with its lying structure, continues at the heart of elite policy, as it has since the first civilization. This is a result of humanity’s evolution through a jungle environment, where force and deception are the cardinal virtues; buttressed with a lack of education and communication on the part of the masses. Now, for the first time in history, new technologies make it possible for society to move beyond Machiavellianism, into a knowledge age.

New institutions are required for a successful new age. As the agricultural age gave way to the industrial age, political institutions changed from aristocracies to legislatures. Economic institutions went from barter and self-produced consumption to capitalism. Social institutional changes included extended households giving way to nuclear families and massive migration to urban centers. With fits and starts, the institutional changes worked in tandem with the emerging technologies of the new age to lift civilizations both materially and morally. There were temporary regressions into totalitarianism in some countries, but the freer countries prevailed, although they are again under attack.

In the change from the industrial age to the digital age, institutional changes were held back by the elites. As a result, many facets of society degraded, even as new technology made more possible. Growing homelessness, drug addiction, moral decline and other setbacks plagued the digital age. As the knowledge age begins, to protect and enhance their positions, the elite now advocate a regression back to a time of lords and serfs. This stance was summarized with the slogan of ‘you will own nothing and be happy’, which appeared in an article on the WEF website (since withdrawn).

THE ROAD FROM SERFDOM

Change of Change

“An eye for an eye will only make the whole world blind.”

— Mahatma Gandhi

Humanity stands at a fork in the road that is as significant as any in history. True to form, the ruling class approach to the coming knowledge age is, in the words of futurist Alvin Toffler, ‘short-sighted and unimaginative’. Necessary reforms are being ‘rigidly resisted’ by the procrastinating elites who fear and dread real change as much as the average worker facing layoffs. Instead of fundamental institutional evolution, there is an attempt to misuse new technology to put a facelift on neo-feudalism. A classic case of putting lipstick on a pig as it were.

Enhanced freedom for the populace is rejected in favor of bizarre proposals coming out of the banker stalking horse at the WEF. One article on the WEF website mentions the desirability of implanting new technologies into people. The lead-up is a condemnation of medical coercion, framed in the context of potential legislation against some

implants. Then there is a stipulation the person being implanted should not have agency, or a choice, in the matter. This is of course the ultimate in medical coercion. It gets worse but suffice it to say the logical inconsistencies are mind boggling.

While disappointing, the escalation of elite inanities is not surprising. In his trilogy on age changes, futurist Toffler did not adequately address the Catch-22 inherent in an awakened populace under Machiavellian leadership. How do the current rulers get off this tiger without being eaten? As mentioned in part one of this series, some royal houses, as in England and Holland, segued into ceremonial roles during the transition from the agricultural age to the industrial age. Other royalty, as in Russia and France, met tragic ends. Despite calls for revenge, the view here is that the peaceful change model needs to be expanded as much as possible for the transition to the knowledge age. As covered in Part 1 of this series, Machiavellians are often caught in a damned if you, damned if you don’t situation. This is largely due to a previously beguiled population rewarding those who lie and make false promises.

To take the path out of the jungle, to what Toffler and others have referred to as the first true human civilization in history, the transition itself should reflect the desired society as much as possible. Developments that call for a relatively peaceful age change this time around include the advent of nuclear weapons and serious ecological challenges. Humanity’s capacity for repeating a history of widespread violence during an age change has reached its limits. It has been said that people and nations will act rationally when all other possibilities have been exhausted. It is the view here that this point has been reached: change of change is necessary. Peace and reconciliation should be the dominant theme for the knowledge age transition when the time comes.

A Gathering Storm

“The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed (and hence clamorous to be led to safety) by an endless series of hobgoblins, most of them imaginary.”

— H.L. Mencken

In 2019, an Ipsos-Mori survey of more than 16,000 adults in 23 countries revealed the majority think their society is broken, the economy is rigged, and traditional politics doesn’t work for them. Gideon Skinner, Head of Political Research at the polling firm, commented “[t]hese findings reveal a worrying lack of confidence in the traditional political establishment around the world and not much more confidence in other key institutions such as the media, courts, or big business”. An honest journalist summarized the results of the poll with “outrage with mainstream institutions has become a mass sentiment.”

The powerful populist movement in the U.S. is one sign of the growing unrest. It culminated in an attack on their legislature in response to a highly questionable presidential election and is now biding its time, growing in power. Another was the yellow vests protests that rocked France. The French government backed down from the planned fuel tax hike that sparked the protests. Regardless, the movement continued, segueing into demands for

political reforms and spreading to other countries. Covid restrictions finally shut it down in early 2020.

The cessation was temporary. As so often happens, government repression fed fuel to the fire. Once it became clear to many that covid restrictions were more about political control than health, widespread protests became a mainstay worldwide. Trust in establishment institutions fell further.

More politicians are coming onside, some sincere, some hurrying to get in front of the public parade that is forming up. The covid pretext for a police state could be weakening, although there is talk around bringing back ineffective restrictions. Regardless, there will be another excuse for totalitarianism already teed up, It could be a false flag cyber-attack, a ‘climate change’ emergency, a war or some combination of ‘an endless series of hobgoblins’. The only certainty is that society is reaching a tipping point, as more and more people wake up to the elite’s strategy of using fear to manipulate populations.

The battle lines for the coming age change are firming up. On the one side is the great reset, a devolution back to full-on feudal times, with the destruction of the middle class and jettisoning of human rights. The World Economic Forum, with its dehumanizing fourth industrial revolution, is on point. On the other side is a great awakening; an evolution, with broad-based, servant leadership.

It holds the promise of enhanced individual freedom in a secure, sustainable society, made possible by our fantastic technology and automated wealth production. What remains to be seen, before the eventual breakthrough to the aptly named knowledge age, is the degree of violence involved.

Force and Deception

“Never attempt to win by force what can be won by deception.”

– Niccolo Machiavelli

Some good news is that deadly force on behalf of the establishment is less tenable today. The widespread massacres of rebellious populations used in the past look to be off the table; not least because most police and soldiers would refuse orders and switch sides. This happened during the collapse of the former USSR a few decades ago. Troops sent by communist hardliners held their fire as a Russian rebel leader climbed on top of a tank. He gave a speech decrying totalitarianism from this makeshift stage. Attempts to use troops from other nations to attack populations won’t hold either, as the awakening is worldwide and they will be needed at home to keep order. A supplementary safeguard against rogue governments in the West is a population that is armed in some countries. The U.S. is particularly relevant here.

Chinese communist soldiers did fire on their rebellious population in the Tiananmen Square massacre. However, that country lacked the democratic traditions that infuses much of the world and Chinese citizens had been disarmed. Even so, it was a one-off massacre, and the Chinese leadership was forced to extend many freedoms to quell the unrest. The reforms included limited free markets and the attendant private property ownership. Unfortunately, political totalitarianism, with its widespread human rights abuses, continued despite the loosening of economic restrictions.

As such, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) serves as an inspiration and model for many elites in the West. Their censored Internet and big brother surveillance system, under the misnomer of social credit, have power addicts worldwide salivating at

(Continued on page 13)

“outrage with mainstream institutions has become a *mass* sentiment.”

(Continued from page 12)

the possibility of extending such slavery. A Canadian Prime Minister went so far as to express open admiration for the inhumane Chinese dictatorship. Public opinion, and likely behind-the-scenes pushback by the military in the West, has recently forced much of the elite admiration for CCP repression to go underground.

Deception as a method of elite control is also fading. Edelman’s 2021 annual trust barometer revealed that fewer than half of Americans trust their legacy media. The results are an all-time low since the prestigious poll’s inception. Fifty-six percent of U.S. citizens agreed with the statement: “journalists and reporters are purposely trying to mislead people by saying things they know are false or gross exaggerations.” Polling in other countries reflects similar sentiments.

The widespread availability of competing narratives on the Internet is of course at the heart of the growing mistrust in legacy media. The new decentralized media is well on the way to replacing centrally-controlled mass media and will be at the forefront of driving more significant institutional change in the future.

The Information Wars

If a nation expects to be ignorant and free, it expects what never was and will never be.

— Thomas Jefferson

As legacy print and TV media fade, the establishment has picked up the pace in attempts to control Internet information. However, social media censorship by establishment stalwarts such as Facebook and Youtube, is defeated by the growth of more democratic competitors like Gab, MeWe, Truth Social, along with Rumble, Bitchute and Odysee. Salted search engine results to bolster the official narrative has led to people rejecting Google, Yahoo and Bing in favor of more honest alternatives for searches on political matters. Some dissident information sources are taken over and subverted, but new ones spring up to take their place. Truth wants to be free. Meanwhile the Tech giants are forced to temper their censorship or risk losing more and more of their audience to the upstarts.

The end result is that elite disinformation tactics end up seeing the light of day. The blowback is further damage to establishment credibility. It is not unusual for condemnations and ridicule to be the dominant themes in the comment section of an official news story that at one time would have been widely believed. The so-called ‘fact checkers’, sometimes unfairly, meet a similar fate when they are sent in to try to turn the situation around. Disinformation on one side tends to induce it on the other and some dissidents adopt the tactics of the Machiavellians to spread countervailing fake news. However, they are also being found out and lose credibility. With the widespread use of the Internet, transparency and truth are at last on a level playing field with lies and deception.

The Communist Chinese Party has led the way in attempts to throttle Internet access, but VPNs and other work-arounds are common in that country. There is a push to expand the CCP model to other nations, such as Canada, but constitutions around the world are blunting the effort. Any heavy-handed efforts to physically shut down the Internet would have massive repercussions and tip many fence-sitters into the revolutionary camp. Localized efforts would also have a cobbled together Internet back up and running, in communities, that would now be committed to revolutionary change.

The Internet was a result of a U.S. military project, ARPANET. The protocols were designed to prevent decapitation of communications capabilities by a nuclear strike. Redundancy in the form of mesh

configurations and flexible routing instructions would send messages around destroyed cables and obliterated communications hubs. The enemy at that time was totalitarianism in the form of the USSR and the Warsaw Pact. Fortuitously, the decentralization design is now proving effective against the resurgence of totalitarianism today.

Relatively inexpensive desktop publishing is also playing a role in the great awakening. A key facet of the collapse of the totalitarian USSR and its satellite states was dissident print publishing collectively known as samizdat. Print is now secondary to online, but still effective. Department and grocery stores that invested extensively in online shopping and advertising still spend millions printing and distributing flyers, and it’s not because they want to reduce their profits. What is more, totalitarians continue making extensive use of their legacy print media empires to spread propaganda. Truthful newspapers and magazines ensure this channel of elite disinformation does not go unchallenged on its home ground. The revolutionaries are at a huge disadvantage when it comes to financing and other resources, but people are hungry for more honest journalism. In a classic case of David and Goliath, the dissident newspaper DRUTHERs established a firm foothold, even as legacy newspapers continue to retreat. In Canada, governments have gone so far as to use taxpayer dollars to prop up and bribe legacy print media. The move has backfired as the primary result of the policy has been yet a further loss of credibility for these compromised sources.

The attempted covid coup is a test case in the information wars. The official narrative, thoroughly dominant at the beginning of the pandemic, was beaten back and looks to be on its way to defeat in many areas. Publicizing of the establishment sabotage of early, effective covid treatment opened the eyes of many. Hypocritical, inconsistent and often bizarre social restrictions around the disease were widely communicated and added to the pushback. Lies have come to light regarding the risk/benefit and effectiveness of the leaky jab, adding to the outrage. The downplaying of natural immunity and the lack of targeted protection for the most vulnerable also became widely known. Alternative media gave a public platform to brave medical personnel, outlier politicians, and above all, everyday informed, honest people to step into the breach. A veritable tsunami of lies, intimidation, coercion, and finally state physical violence promoting the covid coup was blunted and then reversed. The end result was a further loss of trust in establishment institutions for many and the setting of the stage for the next step forward.

The Money Trick

In reality, the ‘miracles’ performed by credit are fundamentally comparable to the ‘miracles’ an association of counterfeiters could perform for its benefit by lending its forged banknotes in return for interest. In both cases, the stimulus to the economy would be the same, and the only difference is who benefits.

- Maurice Félix Charles Allais, French physicist and economist, 1988 winner of the Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences

Insider Carrol Quigley chose his words carefully when he wrote the financial system “was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world” in his book, *Tragedy and Hope*. This is accomplished through the ability of banks to create money from thin air. For the uninitiated, banks do

not lend the money of their depositors. Each time they make a loan, brand new money is created. The new money is lent out at compounding interest to the general public and captive governments. The money to pay the interest to retire the debt does not exist, until it is created from nothing, as more debt, at more compounding interest. This inexorably leads to debt servitude. It is the reason the world is awash in debt today.

The method of money creation is not a conspiracy theory, but an established fact; one that is now coming into the general consciousness. The Bank of England includes it on their website as of this date. As far back as 1922, the Chicago Federal Reserve outlined the money creation process in a booklet entitled *Modern Money Mechanics*. The Bank of International Settlements (BIS), located in Basel Switzerland, coordinates the fraud on an international basis.

Even if an individual has no personal debt, they are still collared through odious government debts. In Canada, it is estimated one-third of tax dollars goes towards paying interest on money created from nothing and ostensibly lent to governments by private banks. Refusal to pay one’s portion of taxes to service odious government debt results in property confiscation, fines and sometimes prison. In more honest times, the so-called debt payments would have been called tribute, paid by a weaker state to avoid attack by a stronger, predatory state. In mafia terminology, it is called a protection racket. Governments that attempt to set up a more honest money system are subjected to sanctions or worse.

Interest on money created from nothing adds significantly to the cost of almost everything. Businesses are usually paying interest on loans. This cost must be built into the price of goods and services. For a mortgage, it is not unusual to pay two or three times the cost of a house before the principal and interest are finally paid off. One reason the system continues is that it is so unjust most people have difficulty even imagining it, let alone accepting it. Incontrovertible evidence of the money trick is now easily accessible online, but cognitive dissonance prevents understanding for many.

Often there is a contrived shortage of money that results in not enough money being in circulation for everyone to pay their debts and interest. Interest rate hikes or the withholding of new loans can cause this. For example, as economist Milton Friedman pointed out, the money supply was cut by 30% for the Great Depression. As soon as WWII started, the money supply was rapidly expanded. Previously it was held to be impossible to hire one unemployed in a family to build needed housing and so on, but now both spouses could be paid to build things to blow up.

Monetary contractions result in a game of musical chairs, with the difference that those caught out of position lose their homes and businesses. One trauma this causes has been called a scarcity mentality, which feeds greed and promotes the worship of money. It is akin to hoarding because of a fear of the future. *Beyond Greed and Scarcity* is an excellent book on the subject by ex-banker and financial expert Bernard Lietaer. Lietaer also has some excellent essays on the Internet on the current reality of money creation.

The monetary fraud has been hidden in plain sight for some time, in low circulation journals and the unpublicized work of many monetary reform-

(Continued on page 14)

“ The method of money creation is not a conspiracy theory, but an established fact; one that is now coming into the general consciousness ”

(Continued from page 14)

ers, including accredited financial professionals. Arrayed against this was the usual tsunami of disinformation from the legacy media. There was no countervailing Internet for most of this history. The silver lining to the attempted covid coup and the lying that was exposed around this issue is that it has opened the eyes of many to the extent of establishment dishonesty. Cognitive dissonance as to the true character of our Machiavellian elite has lessened considerably. This blessing in disguise is a step towards the knowledge age.

Mammon’s Early Childhood

“Money is a great servant but a bad master.”

— Francis Bacon

The monetary fraud, or what Nobel Laureate economist Maurice Allais likened to counterfeiting, originated in medieval times. Goldsmiths fortified their places of business to forestall robberies of the precious metal they fashioned into jewelry and ornaments. Other people could store their gold in the strong-houses for a small fee and were given a receipt for the amount. The depositor could go get their gold when they wanted to make a trade, keeping it secure in the meantime. This was the beginning of the banks.

It was soon found more convenient to sign over the gold receipt where it was trusted by the other party in a transaction. The gold could stay in safe-keeping and not weigh down traveling traders. This was the reiteration of paper money and its widespread introduction into the European economy. (Paper money had previously been a facet of the Chinese economy for centuries before it collapsed, eventually re-emerging in 1890 as the yuan).

The next step in the evolution of money came when the goldsmiths observed that relatively few of the receipts were cashed in at any one time. There was always a significant amount of the customer’s gold just sitting there, gathering dust. Goldsmiths began lending out some of this surplus gold at interest, as though it were their own. The fraud naturally resulted in less gold in the stronghouse than was indicated on the total receipts in circulation. This was the start of fractional reserve.

In 1694, the fractional reserve fraud was formalized when a Royal Charter was granted for the founding of the Bank of England. Money men agreed to finance the war debts of William III in exchange for the right to issue banknotes and loans that were only partially backed by funds invested in the bank. England changed from an absolute monarchy to a constitutional monarchy at this time. It meant a royal decree could not override the decisions of elected representatives, many of whom relied on the banks for finance. Bankers no longer had to be looking over their shoulder at a royal power that could arbitrarily steal their gold as had happened in the past.

With the birth of the industrial age, extensive demands for capital elevated the power of the banks once again. They completed displacing the medieval Church as the dominant power behind thrones and then legislatures. In the accelerated economy, more and more paper money and other financial instruments were issued, while the percentage of gold backing them went down and down. In 1971, the world’s largest economy, the United States, dropped the gold standard entirely. Banking networks and their ability to create money became more powerful than the elected representatives. Money ruled supreme as legislatures, parliaments and senates were compromised by both campaign finance requirements and the need to stay onside with the bought out and subverted legacy media. This concentrated power corrupted, as concentrated power always eventually does, and has brought the world to its present situation.

The Antidote

In college, I was always disappointed by lectures that covered social problems but failed to identify what I could do to change them. Part of the problem was that many professors simply didn’t believe they had a role in converting awareness to action.

- Ben Rattray, founder change.org

Property ownership is at the core of individual freedom and was affirmed as such as the royal houses gave way to elected legislatures, during the transition from the agricultural age to the industrial age. The American Revolution was a pivotal event in this process. The wisdom of its leaders is still relevant today. At the Virginia Constitutional Convention of 1829 Benjamin Watkins Leigh observed, “power and property can be separated for a time by force or fraud — but divorced, never. For as soon as the pang of separation is felt...property will purchase power or power will take over property.” Early American secretary of state Daniel Webster observed “power naturally and necessarily follows property.” Noah Webster, who has been described as the father of American scholarship and education, wrote “[l]et the people have property and they will have power — a power that will forever be exerted to prevent the restriction of the press, the abolition of trial by jury, or the abridgment of any other privilege.”

To resume the progress of civilization, the way forward is opposite the WEF ‘own nothing’ push, which is of course the main plank of communism’s abolition of private property. The antidote is the creation of what has been termed an ownership society, wherein each and every individual owns a part of the amazing technology that is making labor less and less a factor in wealth production. A discipline known as binary economics (BE) is the pre-eminent starting point here. There has been decades of work on this concept by lawyers, economists, and other professionals.

The employee stock ownership legislation in the U.S. was a preliminary step to widespread ownership. It was created by binary economists who gained the support of influential politicians in 1956. Unlike communism, the track record of the BE solution for the future is one of success.

It is not a stretch to argue that civilized means must be used to transition to a truly civilized age and binary economics meets this requirement. For starters, the design involves bringing everyone up, without bringing anyone down. Unlike past

age changes, the establishment is not deprived of any property during the changeover. Instead, the continual increases in wealth derived from new technologies are widely distributed by free-market principles, while respecting the property rights of existing owners. Elites would not be deprived of material goods, but would forgo their ability to enslave others. The effect on them will likely be beneficial for most.

Every year many trillions of dollars of new capital come into existence through what is essentially a shell game involving large financial houses, central banks and captive governments. In a binary economy, this injection of new money would not go in a concentrated stream to the super-rich and their supporters through the monetary fraud. Instead, it would disperse to enter the economy in the form of interest-free loans to each and every individual. The loans could only be used for the purchase of carefully vetted, non-transferable, productive property. Insurance products with premiums paid by the borrowers would provide collateral. The loans are repaid through the profits on the productive investments, with insurance looking after any

defaults. This design enables people without significant collateral to gradually become substantial owners of productive property. It gets around the old catch-22 of ‘you need money to make money’.

It also updates old employment paradigms to align with the new automated technology. You don’t have to worry to about a robot taking your job when you own the robot.

Binary economics has been likened to capital homesteading, in recognition of previous legislation in North America that made landowners out of many of the propertyless classes in the agricultural age. In this policy, enacted both in the U.S. and Canada, land was sold for a nominal amount on the condition that it was improved and made productive. The explosion in new property owners occasioned by this legislation formed a foundation for the middle class and democracy that led to North America becoming a beacon and desired emigration destination for the world. Capital homesteading follows a similar design, except relatively limitless capital takes the place of limited land.


UBO vs UBI

“The power of community to create health is far greater than any physician, clinic or hospital.”

– Mark Hyman

(Continued on page 15)

Our Federal subsidy seems to be late. Until it arrives, can you please donate?



All Sovereign News donations go towards print and web hosting costs. There will an Audit Tab on the website for full transparency. Newspapers are fading but still promise to be an important supplement to online truth in media projects.



Please see sovereignnews.com Donate button is at top left

(Continued from page 14)

After the BE loan is paid off, the productive property ownership provides a dividend income stream to every individual, much as it works for the wealthier today. The tax-sheltered, full payout dividends would grow with the economy. Those concerned about limits to growth have a stunted view of what growth is. Increases in quality rather than quantity is a form of growth that enhances sustainability. Economic growth could comprise growth in more green space, given value by a populace with increasing leisure time, or in low or no impact digital educational and entertainment products, or in the not too distant future, growth in extraterrestrial ventures. Doing more with less in a hallmark of progress. Environmentally friendly growth possibilities are virtually limitless.

The citizen dividends could be termed a Universal Basic Ownership income (UBO). UBO is fundamentally different from the Universal Basic Income (UBI) being pushed by those who want a disempowered population. From trust fund recipients to welfare cases, many people suffer from a ‘crisis of meaning’ with the passivity and detachment inherent in not being actively involved in the economic activity of a community. A UBI would make this social debilitation endemic. With UBO, citizens are active, involved participants in the larger economy, creating a sense of belonging and interest in governance.

A UBO also puts more power in the hands of the individual. Rather than faceless bureaucrats of a UBI determining a citizen’s income level, the individual has significant input into choosing their investments. As shareholders, they can also vote for company direction or even serve on boards to have input into their income level; along with the beneficial impacts their investment brings to their community and society in general. In the employee stock ownership legislation invented by binary economists, the connection between worker inputs and rewards are of course obvious.

The binary economics aspect of the UBO means the labor work you do is not deducted or otherwise affected by your investment income. Binary means two, as in two separate streams of income, should you choose to engage in paid work after your dividends income can supply you with your needs and wants. Prying bureaucrats will have no say in the matter.

With UBI, power is centralized in a small governing body, and so invites corruption. A political party can promise a big raise to get elected, re-

gardless if the real economy is producing the necessary goods and services. This leads to inflation. They can also cut off someone’s UBI income for not bowing down to those doling it out. This means the UBI is essentially a recipe for tyranny. In a UBO, power is decentralized and a truly free market handles funds distribution; in tandem with the production of marketable goods and services.

And finally, in the unlikely event, all carefully vetted investments fail for a citizen, the UBI concept can still come into play; but as a last resort, for a small minority. The government-administered guaranteed income would also be temporary, as each year new interest-free loans to invest become available for each person. The negative income tax proposed by Milton Friedman is the way to go for this small part of the economy. The process

respects privacy and is harder to cut off due to its tie-in with income tax. You just send in your tax form like always, and your tax refund can be disbursed in monthly installments sufficient to provide the basics of food, shelter, and clothing. This also makes this method very efficient. No new bureaucracy is required. Existing government tax agencies could administer it through their normal procedures. The tax burden for such a system would be a fraction of the myriad social programs set up today. Because binary economics was stalled for a few decades by the power addicts, some UBO might have to be reverse engineered from existing government support programs. Regardless, abject poverty, in the midst of plenty, would

become a thing of the past.

Own or be Owned

Everyone has the right to own property alone as well as in association with others. No one shall be arbitrarily deprived of his property.

- Universal Declaration of Human Rights - A. 17.

As economist and lawyer Norm Kurland put it “On the one hand there is capitalism, an economic system governed by market forces but where economic power is concentrated in the hands of a few who own or control productive capital. On the other hand, socialism, in its many forms, is an economic system governed centrally by a political elite, with even more highly concentrated ownership and economic power. Logically, a “just third way” would be a free-market system that economically empowers all individuals and families through direct and effective ownership of the means of production — the best check against the potential for corruption and abuse.”

Various investment instruments have been proposed for binary economics. The existing Employee Stock Ownership Program is a good start, but it limits involvement to those employed in the relevant private companies. More is needed to both expand widespread ownership stakes and also to make each and every individual an owner. Proposed vehicles include Community Investment Corporations, Consumer Stock Ownership Programs and Community Land Development Cooperatives. Under these financial instruments, utility companies, new industrial and residential developments, small businesses and larger companies, and so on, can all come into being with direct ownership by individuals. Along with other investment ownership designs, these would form the foundation of what has been called economic democracy. It is true stakeholder capitalism, not the WEF version, in which the term is misused to camouflage a push for a further concentration of power for the few. Capital Homesteading funding can also be used to buy existing productive property from the estates of the dying lords of the last age, to transform them into widely owned entities.

In binary economics, all will have equal opportunity, but individual productive investments, along with one’s own labor, will lead to significantly different individual material wealth results. Some might prefer more free time, or to work on things that interest them most, regardless of pay. As 1920’s monetary reformer and real social credit founder C.H. Douglas put it, “what we really demand of existence is not that we shall be put into somebody else’s Utopia, but we shall be put in a position to construct a Utopia of our own.” Instead of a few elites playing God, each person can become master of the technology needed to realize his or her fullest human potential and dignity; which is very likely divinely inspired (see next issue for this).


The Community Land Development Cooperative (CLDC) might be especially useful for designing prototypes. Communities of the future can be modelled where each individual has direct ownership of not just their residences, but shares in the utility support systems as well as investments in all other manner of wealth creation. Reworked condominium legislation or Decentralized autonomous organizations(DAOs) might aide here, keeping in mind condominiums can include separate housing units and varying degrees of flexibility. Parallel structures being developed by dissidents can naturally align with this justice-based outlook. Already, superior educational, medical and other initiatives outside government control are springing up. Bringing these together in a prototypical community could be a next step.

The unit of accounting, money, can more closely reflect real wealth production and sustainable resource use, rather than speculation and sometimes the destruction of real wealth, as happens in war and disease. In essence, it could be a resource based accounting system. This is in line with the work of visionary economists like Kenneth Boulding, who was an early adopter of the term knowledge age. Energy would be a primary component of a scientific money system that symbolizes wealth.

The ecological danger that has been termed the tragedy of the commons can be solved in a sustainable political manner under such a prototypical design. You can’t have environmental sustainability without political stability, and the injustice inherent in the fourth industrial age design, under the aegis of WEF, guarantees any political stability will be relatively short lived there. The urge for freedom in human affairs is eternal.

More information on binary economics in a mainly U.S. context can be found at the Center for Economic and Social Justice website at cesj.org. The website at globajusticemovement.org works on marrying the core concepts of binary economics with other reform efforts in a world context.

“ To do this, Universal Basic Ownership or *UBO* has to predominate over the top-down, feudalist Universal Basic Income (*UBI*) idea. ”



Reclaiming Personal Sovereignty Under Natural Law

MICHAEL D. GREANEY & DAWN K. BROHAWN

Available at amazon.ca

The Greater Reset: Reclaiming Personal Sovereignty under Natural Law

Is the Great Reset the scheme of a vast global elite to control the lives of ordinary people or a well-intentioned but dangerously misguided approach to correct systemic ills? Regardless, there is a question we all must ask: How will the dignity, freedom, and power of each human person be protected and promoted when universal human rights and their Transcendent Source have been rendered irrelevant?

In The Greater Reset, Greaney and Brohawn trace the historical, religious, political, and economic roots of humanity’s perilous condition and how returning to God-given, universal principles of natural law, with equal access to the institutions of the common good, can help build a more just, liberating, prosperous, and hopeful future for every person.



(Agenda for Canada from page 1)

An important sidebar to the Davos summits is the Young Global Leaders and Global Shapers programs, in which participants are indoctrinated on the WEF agenda and schooled on how to effectively implement it. The Global Shapers program today has more than 1,300 youth activists operating in 450 cities around the world advocating through “grassroots movements” for societal change and climate change solutions.

The WEF and the agenda for Canada

In 2016, Schwab released a document entitled “The Great Reset,” which argued capitalism is dead and every aspect of society needed to be re-fashioned. The prescription was to move nations’ economies and corporate structures from “shareholder capitalism to stakeholder responsibility.” Governments and international corporations were to be regulated and measured by WEF environmental, social and governance metrics, and managed by the U.N.

In July 2020, the WEF published a document co-authored by Schwab entitled, “COVID-19 – The Great Reset.” In this thesis, Schwab describes how the COVID pandemic has disrupted economic and social order around the world. He posits that the health crisis provides an opportunity “to create a more inclusive, resilient and sustainable world going forward.” Schwab then advanced a WEF plan to alter nation states and their institutions over a ten year period so that, by 2030, countries will be in adherence with U.N. economic and social governing bodies.

Schwab’s 2020 book concludes: “In short, we need a ‘Great Reset’ of capitalism. We must build entirely new foundations for our economic and social systems.”

So, this is the contextual background information necessary to understand the significance of a recent video clip that has surfaced of Klaus Schwab being interviewed at the John F. Kennedy School of Government about how the WEF would implement its plan to reset the global economic

and social structure. The video clip can be viewed online.

Schwab states verbatim, “The notion to integrate young leaders is part of the WEF since many years. I have to say, when I mention now names, like Mrs. Merkel and even Vladimir Putin, and so on, they all have been Young Global Leaders of the WEF. But what we are very proud of now is the young generation like Prime Minister Trudeau, the president of Argentina, and so on. So, we penetrate the cabinets. So yesterday I was at a reception for Prime Minister Trudeau and I know that half of his cabinet, or even more than half of his cabinet, are actually Young Global Leaders.”

It was a travesty of democracy when MP Carrie was silenced for questioning the impact of Klaus Schwab and the WEF on PM Justin Trudeau and his cabinet. Schwab’s agenda is constantly being championed by senior Liberals in Ottawa. Two bald examples from the past couple of years:

Trudeau addressed the U.N. in September 2020, “This pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset. This is our chance to accelerate our pre-pandemic efforts, to reimagine economic systems that actually address global challenges like extreme poverty, inequality, and climate change.”

Deputy Prime Minister Chrystia Freeland and former health minister Patty Hajdu in 2021 spoke at the WEF’s Great Reset Initiative. Freeland participated in an “Implementing Stakeholder Capitalism” panel while Hajdu spoke at a “Restoring Cross-Border Mobility” panel.

As disturbing as the intimacies between the WEF and the Canadian PM and Cabinet are, the conflicting interests of Chrystia Freeland are more alarming. Canada’s Deputy PM and Finance Minister is also a Trustee on the WEF Board and, as such, is responsible for advancing WEF objectives. The WEF board of trustees “act as guardians of its mission and values” and are its “highest-level governance body.”

In a 2021 National Post column entitled “Chrystia Freeland’s side gig with the WEF is endangering Canadian democracy,” Rupa Subra-

manya put it best when she observed, “There’s no need to invent conspiracy theories. The attempt by global elites to subvert local democracy is fully on and in plain view.”

And there is much more to be weary of when understanding that the Liberals are lockstep with the WEF reset agenda. One example occurred recently with the financial takedown of those Canadians supporting the truckers’ protest. Canadians witnessed what is possible with the government’s use of FINTRAC to freeze and seize financial accounts. This absolute digital control of personal and corporate finances makes for frightening scenarios if misused by a government with an agenda. Additionally, it is noteworthy that the Canadian Bankers Association (CBA) is the agency contracted by the WEF to create its global digital ID, akin to the QR codes used in Canada to verify a person’s vaccination status.

On this WEF-CBA work, there is a theory circulating that the government’s abrupt cancellation of the Emergencies Act was a result of agitated WEF officials who felt Freeland’s financial powerplay may have caused anxiousness and undue attention to the government’s digital control over citizens.

Could it be that, perhaps, Schwab phoned Trudeau? Or perhaps, Canadians wondering about the links between WEF and their government’s agenda are like MP Angus has suggested: openly promoting disinformation. Alas, Canadians may never know for sure. MP Carrie never got to ask the question.

Chris George is an advocate, government relations advisor, and writer/copy editor. As president of a public relations firm established in 1994, Chris provides discreet counsel, tactical advice and management skills to CEOs/Presidents, Boards of Directors and senior executive teams in executing public and government relations campaigns and managing issues. Prior to this PR/GR career, Chris spent seven years on Parliament Hill on staffs of Cabinet Ministers and MPs. Today, Chris resides in Almonte, Ontario where he and his wife manage www.cgacommunications.com. Contact Chris at chrisg.george@gmail.com.



FREEDOM THROUGH SOVEREIGNTY

sovereignnews.com

Something for Albertans to fly beside the Canadian Flag

Help Support Honest Media and an Autonomous Alberta

11” x 17” car flag with mounting - \$29.95 GST and shipping within Alberta included.

etransfer: admin@sovereignnews.com
Mail: Box 294, Whitecourt, AB T7S 1N4